CLE Percolations

Jason Miller

Cambridge

Scott Sheffield (MIT) and Wendelin Werner (ETH)

December 9, 2016

Part I: Introduction and motivation

Part II: SLE and CLE

Part III: Conformal percolation and results

Part I: Introduction and motivation

• Graph $G = (V, E), p \in (0, 1).$



- Graph $G = (V, E), p \in (0, 1).$
- ▶ Keep each e ∈ E based on the toss of an independent p-coin



- Graph $G = (V, E), p \in (0, 1).$
- ▶ Keep each e ∈ E based on the toss of an independent p-coin
- Interested in connectivity properties of the resulting graph:



- Graph $G = (V, E), p \in (0, 1).$
- ▶ Keep each e ∈ E based on the toss of an independent p-coin
- Interested in connectivity properties of the resulting graph:
 - Critical value p_c:
 - *p* > *p_c* → there exists an infinite cluster
 - $p < p_c \rightarrow$ all clusters are finite



- Graph $G = (V, E), p \in (0, 1).$
- Keep each e ∈ E based on the toss of an independent p-coin
- Interested in connectivity properties of the resulting graph:
 - Critical value p_c:
 - *p* > *p_c* → there exists an infinite cluster
 - $p < p_c \rightarrow$ all clusters are finite
 - Crossing probabilities



- Graph $G = (V, E), p \in (0, 1).$
- ▶ Keep each e ∈ E based on the toss of an independent p-coin
- Interested in connectivity properties of the resulting graph:
 - Critical value p_c:
 - $p > p_c \rightarrow$ there exists an infinite cluster
 - $p < p_c \rightarrow$ all clusters are finite
 - Crossing probabilities
 - Scaling limits



- Graph $G = (V, E), p \in (0, 1).$
- ▶ Keep each e ∈ E based on the toss of an independent p-coin
- Interested in connectivity properties of the resulting graph:
 - Critical value p_c:
 - $p > p_c \rightarrow$ there exists an infinite cluster
 - $p < p_c \rightarrow$ all clusters are finite
 - Crossing probabilities
 - Scaling limits
- Leads to better understanding of the underlying graph G





Critical bond percolation on a box in Z^2 with side-length 1000, conformally mapped to **D**. Shown are the clusters which touch the boundary.

 Can ask the same questions about percolation in a fractal carpet or gasket



- Can ask the same questions about percolation in a fractal carpet or gasket
- Answers are quite different than on a planar lattice because the connectivity of the graph is different



- Can ask the same questions about percolation in a fractal carpet or gasket
- Answers are quite different than on a planar lattice because the connectivity of the graph is different
- Interesting to analyze because percolation says something about the structure of the carpet or gasket



- Can ask the same questions about percolation in a fractal carpet or gasket
- Answers are quite different than on a planar lattice because the connectivity of the graph is different
- Interesting to analyze because percolation says something about the structure of the carpet or gasket
- Kumagai, Higuchi-Wu: uniqueness of infinite cluster at p > p_c, CLT for number of open clusters, etc...



- Can ask the same questions about percolation in a fractal carpet or gasket
- Answers are quite different than on a planar lattice because the connectivity of the graph is different
- Interesting to analyze because percolation says something about the structure of the carpet or gasket
- Kumagai, Higuchi-Wu: uniqueness of infinite cluster at p > p_c, CLT for number of open clusters, etc...



Sierpinski carpet

We will be interested in what happens when the fractal carpet is random.

Ising model

▶ Ising model on a graph G = (V, E) is a configuration $\sigma \in \{\pm\}^{|V|}$



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Ising model with } + \mbox{ boundary} \\ \mbox{ conditions.} \end{array}$

Ising model

- ▶ Ising model on a graph G = (V, E) is a configuration $\sigma \in \{\pm\}^{|V|}$
- Probability of σ is

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp\left(\beta \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} \sigma_x \sigma_y\right)$$

where β is the inverse temperature and $\mathcal Z$ is a normalization constant.



Ising model with + boundary conditions.

Ising model

- Ising model on a graph G = (V, E) is a configuration σ ∈ {±}^{|V|}
- Probability of σ is

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp\left(\beta \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} \sigma_x \sigma_y\right)$$

where β is the inverse temperature and $\mathcal Z$ is a normalization constant.

Model for a magnet.



Ising model with + boundary conditions.

We will be interested in percolation in random fractal carpets which arise from models like the Ising model.

 Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where
$$b = \#$$
edges, $c = \#$ clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where
$$b = #edges$$
, $c = #clusters$.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

- Color clusters \pm with probability 1/2
- Given Ising instance, can sample edges by opening edges between same spin sites independently with probability p.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

- Color clusters \pm with probability 1/2
- Given Ising instance, can sample edges by opening edges between same spin sites independently with probability p.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

- Color clusters \pm with probability 1/2
- Given Ising instance, can sample edges by opening edges between same spin sites independently with probability p.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

- Color clusters \pm with probability 1/2
- Given Ising instance, can sample edges by opening edges between same spin sites independently with probability p.



- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

- Color clusters \pm with probability 1/2
- Given Ising instance, can sample edges by opening edges between same spin sites independently with probability p.
- The clusters can be viewed as a percolation in the graph formed by the random collection of edges between same-spin sites.


FK random cluster representation of the Ising model

- Can sample from an instance of the Ising model as follows.
 - Sample an edge configuration from the random cluster measure:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}(1-p)^{|E|-b}p^bq^c, \quad q=2,$$

where b = #edges, c = #clusters.

- Color clusters \pm with probability 1/2
- Given Ising instance, can sample edges by opening edges between same spin sites independently with probability p.
- The clusters can be viewed as a percolation in the graph formed by the random collection of edges between same-spin sites.
- Generalizes to *q*-state Potts models.



Existence of the continuum limit?

 On Z², the FK clusters have been shown to converge to CLE_{16/3} (Kemppainen-Smirnov).

Existence of the continuum limit?

- On Z², the FK clusters have been shown to converge to CLE_{16/3} (Kemppainen-Smirnov).
- ► The full collection of interfaces between ± sites in the Ising model converge to CLE₃ (Benoist-Hongler).

Existence of the continuum limit?

- On Z², the FK clusters have been shown to converge to CLE_{16/3} (Kemppainen-Smirnov).
- ► The full collection of interfaces between ± sites in the Ising model converge to CLE₃ (Benoist-Hongler).
- \blacktriangleright There should be a coupling of ${\rm CLE}_3$ and ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$ which satisfy the same properties:
 - $CLE_{16/3}$ = percolation in the CLE_3 carpet
 - ▶ $CLE_3 = interfaces$ between i.i.d. ±-labeled $CLE_{16/3}$ clusters



Ising model on box in Z^2 of side-length 1000, all + boundary conditions, conformally mapped to **D**. Boundary touching + cluster in black.



Ising model on box in ${\bf Z}^2$ of side-length 1000, all + boundary conditions, conformally mapped to ${\bf D}.$ Boundary touching cluster of critical percolation in + cluster in orange.



Critical bond percolation on box in Z^2 of side-length 1000. Shown in black are the boundary touching clusters.

Jason Miller (Cambridge)



Critical bond percolation on box in Z^2 of side-length 1000. Clusters are colored according to an i.i.d. uniform label in [0, 1].



Critical bond percolation on box in ${\bf Z}^2$ of side-length 1000. Boundary touching clusters with label $\leq 1/2$ (resp. >1/2) in red (resp. blue). Blue/red interface in green.

Part II: SLE and CLE

Random fractal curve in a planar domain



- Random fractal curve in a planar domain
- Introduced by Schramm in '99 to describe limits of interfaces in discrete models



- Random fractal curve in a planar domain
- Introduced by Schramm in '99 to describe limits of interfaces in discrete models



- Random fractal curve in a planar domain
- Introduced by Schramm in '99 to describe limits of interfaces in discrete models
- Characterized by conformal invariance and domain Markov property



- Random fractal curve in a planar domain
- Introduced by Schramm in '99 to describe limits of interfaces in discrete models
- Characterized by conformal invariance and domain Markov property
- Indexed by a parameter $\kappa > 0$



- Random fractal curve in a planar domain
- Introduced by Schramm in '99 to describe limits of interfaces in discrete models
- Characterized by conformal invariance and domain Markov property
- Indexed by a parameter κ > 0
- Simple for κ ∈ (0, 4], self-intersecting for κ ∈ (4, 8), space-filling for κ ≥ 8



- Random fractal curve in a planar domain
- Introduced by Schramm in '99 to describe limits of interfaces in discrete models
- Characterized by conformal invariance and domain Markov property
- Indexed by a parameter κ > 0
- Simple for κ ∈ (0, 4], self-intersecting for κ ∈ (4, 8), space-filling for κ ≥ 8
- Dimension: $1 + \kappa/8$ for $\kappa \leq 8$



- Random fractal curve in a planar domain
- Introduced by Schramm in '99 to describe limits of interfaces in discrete models
- Characterized by conformal invariance and domain Markov property
- Indexed by a parameter κ > 0
- Simple for κ ∈ (0, 4], self-intersecting for κ ∈ (4, 8), space-filling for κ ≥ 8
- Dimension: $1 + \kappa/8$ for $\kappa \leq 8$
- Some special κ values:
 - $\kappa = 2$ LERW, $\kappa = 8$ UST
 - κ = 8/3 SAW
 - $\kappa = 3$ Ising, $\kappa = 16/3$ FK-Ising
 - $\kappa = 4$ GFF level lines
 - ▶ κ = 6 Percolation
 - $\kappa = 12$ Bipolar orientations
 - • •



SLE_{κ}



Loewner's equation: if η is a non self-crossing path in **H** with $\eta(0) \in \mathbf{R}$ and g_t is the Riemann map from the unbounded component of $\mathbf{H} \setminus \eta([0, t])$ to **H** normalized by $g_t(z) = z + o(1)$ as $z \to \infty$, then

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - W_t}$$
 where $g_0(z) = z$ and $W_t = g_t(\eta(t))$. (\bigstar)

SLE_{κ}



Loewner's equation: if η is a non self-crossing path in **H** with $\eta(0) \in \mathbf{R}$ and g_t is the Riemann map from the unbounded component of $\mathbf{H} \setminus \eta([0, t])$ to **H** normalized by $g_t(z) = z + o(1)$ as $z \to \infty$, then

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = rac{2}{g_t(z) - W_t}$$
 where $g_0(z) = z$ and $W_t = g_t(\eta(t))$. (\bigstar)

SLE_{κ} in H: The random curve associated with (\bigstar) with $W_t = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$, B a standard Brownian motion.

SLE_{κ}



Loewner's equation: if η is a non self-crossing path in **H** with $\eta(0) \in \mathbf{R}$ and g_t is the Riemann map from the unbounded component of $\mathbf{H} \setminus \eta([0, t])$ to **H** normalized by $g_t(z) = z + o(1)$ as $z \to \infty$, then

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = rac{2}{g_t(z) - W_t}$$
 where $g_0(z) = z$ and $W_t = g_t(\eta(t))$. (\bigstar)

SLE_{κ} in H: The random curve associated with (\bigstar) with $W_t = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$, B a standard Brownian motion. Other domains: apply conformal mapping.



Simulations due to Tom Kennedy.

 Random collection of loops in a planar domain. Loop version of SLE.



- Random collection of loops in a planar domain. Loop version of SLE.
- Introduced by Sheffield in 2006 to describe scaling limits of all interfaces.



- Random collection of loops in a planar domain. Loop version of SLE.
- Introduced by Sheffield in 2006 to describe scaling limits of all interfaces.
- ▶ Indexed by a parameter $\kappa \in (8/3, 8)$



- Random collection of loops in a planar domain. Loop version of SLE.
- Introduced by Sheffield in 2006 to describe scaling limits of all interfaces.
- ▶ Indexed by a parameter $\kappa \in (8/3, 8)$
- Simple for κ ∈ (8/3, 4], self-intersecting for κ ∈ (4, 8)



- Random collection of loops in a planar domain. Loop version of SLE.
- Introduced by Sheffield in 2006 to describe scaling limits of all interfaces.
- ▶ Indexed by a parameter $\kappa \in (8/3, 8)$
- Simple for $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$, self-intersecting for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$
- Gasket dimension:

$$2-(8-\kappa)(3\kappa-8)/(32\kappa)$$



- Random collection of loops in a planar domain. Loop version of SLE.
- Introduced by Sheffield in 2006 to describe scaling limits of all interfaces.
- Indexed by a parameter $\kappa \in (8/3, 8)$
- Simple for $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$, self-intersecting for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$
- Gasket dimension:

 $2-(8-\kappa)(3\kappa-8)/(32\kappa)$

 Characterized by conformal invariance and the restriction property,



- Random collection of loops in a planar domain. Loop version of SLE.
- Introduced by Sheffield in 2006 to describe scaling limits of all interfaces.
- Indexed by a parameter $\kappa \in (8/3, 8)$
- Simple for $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$, self-intersecting for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$
- Gasket dimension:

 $2-(8-\kappa)(3\kappa-8)/(32\kappa)$

Characterized by conformal invariance and the restriction property, κ ∈ (8/3, 4]



- Random collection of loops in a planar domain. Loop version of SLE.
- Introduced by Sheffield in 2006 to describe scaling limits of all interfaces.
- Indexed by a parameter $\kappa \in (8/3, 8)$
- Simple for $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$, self-intersecting for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$
- Gasket dimension:

 $2 - (8 - \kappa)(3\kappa - 8)/(32\kappa)$

- Characterized by conformal invariance and the restriction property, κ ∈ (8/3, 4]
- Some special κ values:
 - $\kappa = 3$ Ising, $\kappa = 16/3$ FK-Ising
 - $\kappa = 4$ GFF level lines
 - $\kappa = 6$ Percolation
 - κ = 8 UST
 - • •





Simulations due to David B. Wilson.

Part III: Conformal percolation and results

► Goals:

- Goals:
 - \blacktriangleright Make sense of ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$ as critical percolation inside of ${\rm CLE}_3$ carpet

Goals:

- ▶ Make sense of CLE_{16/3} as critical percolation inside of CLE₃ carpet
- \blacktriangleright Show that ${\rm CLE}_3$ can be constructed by assigning ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$ clusters \pm spins independently with probability 1/2 and then agglomerating same-spin clusters together

Goals:

- \blacktriangleright Make sense of ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$ as critical percolation inside of ${\rm CLE}_3$ carpet
- \blacktriangleright Show that ${\rm CLE}_3$ can be constructed by assigning ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$ clusters \pm spins independently with probability 1/2 and then agglomerating same-spin clusters together
- The same will hold more generally for each CLE_κ, κ ∈ (8/3, 4], and the corresponding CLE_{16/κ}.
Conformal percolation

Goals:

- Make sense of $CLE_{16/3}$ as critical percolation inside of CLE_3 carpet
- \blacktriangleright Show that ${\rm CLE}_3$ can be constructed by assigning ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$ clusters \pm spins independently with probability 1/2 and then agglomerating same-spin clusters together
- The same will hold more generally for each CLE_κ, κ ∈ (8/3,4], and the corresponding CLE_{16/κ}.
- Then we will have made sense of the random cluster representation of the Ising model in the continuum and, more generally, for all of the Potts models.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

 Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

 Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side
- Continuously hitting interfaces ± interfaces



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side
- Continuously hitting interfaces ± interfaces
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/lsing exploration



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side
- Continuously hitting interfaces ± interfaces
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/lsing exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side
- Continuously hitting interfaces ± interfaces
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/lsing exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property
- \blacktriangleright Evolves as an ${\rm SLE}_3$ as it explores \pm interface



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side
- Continuously hitting interfaces ± interfaces
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/lsing exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property
- Evolves as an SLE₃ as it explores ± interface
- ▶ These properties single out SLE₃(-3)



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side
- Continuously hitting interfaces ± interfaces
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/lsing exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property
- Evolves as an SLE₃ as it explores ± interface
- ▶ These properties single out SLE₃(-3)
- Percolation exploration is its "trunk"



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation exploration in CLE₃ carpet from x to y
- Jointly explore ± interfaces hit, keep on left side
- Continuously hitting interfaces ± interfaces
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/lsing exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property
- Evolves as an SLE₃ as it explores ± interface
- ► These properties single out SLE₃(-3)
- Percolation exploration is its "trunk"
- ► Theorem: (M, Sheffield, Werner) The trunk is an SLE_{16/3}(-2/3)



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

▶ Percolation in CLE_{16/3} gasket.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

▶ Percolation in CLE_{16/3} gasket.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.
- Jointly explore ± interfaces from x to y, with - on left and + on right



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.
- Jointly explore ± interfaces from x to y, with - on left and + on right



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.
- Jointly explore ± interfaces from x to y, with - on left and + on right
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/FK-Ising exploration



 CLE_3 : scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.
- Jointly explore ± interfaces from x to y, with - on left and + on right
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/FK-Ising exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions
- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.
- Jointly explore ± interfaces from x to y, with - on left and + on right
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/FK-Ising exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property
- Evolves as an SLE_{16/3} as it follows an FK-Ising cluster (CLE_{16/3} loop)



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.
- Jointly explore ± interfaces from x to y, with - on left and + on right
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/FK-Ising exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property
- Evolves as an SLE_{16/3} as it follows an FK-Ising cluster (CLE_{16/3} loop)
- ► These properties single out SLE_{16/3}(-2/3)



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.
- Jointly explore ± interfaces from x to y, with - on left and + on right
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/FK-Ising exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property
- Evolves as an SLE_{16/3} as it follows an FK-Ising cluster (CLE_{16/3} loop)
- ► These properties single out SLE_{16/3}(-2/3)
- Percolation exploration is its "trunk"



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

- Percolation in $CLE_{16/3}$ gasket.
- Color each loop + or independently with probability 1/2.
- Jointly explore ± interfaces from x to y, with - on left and + on right
- Goal: characterize the law of the joint percolation/FK-Ising exploration
- Conformally invariant and satisfies the domain Markov property
- Evolves as an SLE_{16/3} as it follows an FK-Ising cluster (CLE_{16/3} loop)
- ► These properties single out SLE_{16/3}(-2/3)
- Percolation exploration is its "trunk"
- ► Theorem: (M, Sheffield, Werner) The trunk is an SLE₃-type curve



 ${\rm CLE}_3$: scaling limit of outermost \pm lsing interfaces, + boundary conditions

▶ Proved by Kemppainen and Smirnov that the FK-Ising clusters converge to CLE_{16/3}

- \blacktriangleright Proved by Kemppainen and Smirnov that the FK-Ising clusters converge to ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$
- Know that we can construct Ising from FK-Ising clusters

- Proved by Kemppainen and Smirnov that the FK-Ising clusters converge to CLE_{16/3}
- Know that we can construct Ising from FK-Ising clusters
- ▶ Thus, to prove that all of the Ising interfaces converge to CLE₃, suffices to show that the graph of FK-Ising loops converges in strong enough sense to the graph of CLE_{16/3} loops.

- Proved by Kemppainen and Smirnov that the FK-Ising clusters converge to CLE_{16/3}
- Know that we can construct Ising from FK-Ising clusters
- ▶ Thus, to prove that all of the Ising interfaces converge to CLE₃, suffices to show that the graph of FK-Ising loops converges in strong enough sense to the graph of CLE_{16/3} loops.
- ▶ This will be in a paper of Duminil-Copin, Tassion, and Wu.

- Proved by Kemppainen and Smirnov that the FK-Ising clusters converge to CLE_{16/3}
- Know that we can construct Ising from FK-Ising clusters
- ▶ Thus, to prove that all of the Ising interfaces converge to CLE₃, suffices to show that the graph of FK-Ising loops converges in strong enough sense to the graph of CLE_{16/3} loops.
- ▶ This will be in a paper of Duminil-Copin, Tassion, and Wu.
- Combined, this implies that all of the Ising contours converge to CLE₃.

- Proved by Kemppainen and Smirnov that the FK-Ising clusters converge to CLE_{16/3}
- Know that we can construct Ising from FK-Ising clusters
- ▶ Thus, to prove that all of the Ising interfaces converge to CLE₃, suffices to show that the graph of FK-Ising loops converges in strong enough sense to the graph of CLE_{16/3} loops.
- This will be in a paper of Duminil-Copin, Tassion, and Wu.
- Combined, this implies that all of the Ising contours converge to CLE₃. (Now proved in a different way by Benoist-Honger.)

The continuum percolation is still random given its environment: the CLE_{16/3} is not determined by the CLE₃



► The continuum percolation is still random given its environment: the CLE_{16/3} is not determined by the CLE₃



- ► The continuum percolation is still random given its environment: the CLE_{16/3} is not determined by the CLE₃
- Generalizes to all CLE_κ for κ ∈ (8/3, 4] and CLE_{16/κ}
 - Mathematical derivation that the scaling limit of the 3-state Potts model should converge to CLE_{10/3} and the 4-state Potts model should converge to CLE₄



- ► The continuum percolation is still random given its environment: the CLE_{16/3} is not determined by the CLE₃
- Generalizes to all CLE_κ for κ ∈ (8/3, 4] and CLE_{16/κ}
 - Mathematical derivation that the scaling limit of the 3-state Potts model should converge to CLE_{10/3} and the 4-state Potts model should converge to CLE₄
- First construction of scaling limit of percolation inside of a fractal gasket



- ► The continuum percolation is still random given its environment: the CLE_{16/3} is not determined by the CLE₃
- Generalizes to all CLE_κ for κ ∈ (8/3, 4] and CLE_{16/κ}
 - Mathematical derivation that the scaling limit of the 3-state Potts model should converge to CLE_{10/3} and the 4-state Potts model should converge to CLE₄
- First construction of scaling limit of percolation inside of a fractal gasket
- New proof of the "existence" of CLE_κ for κ ∈ (8/3,4]. Previous proof was based on the connection with Brownian loop-soups (Sheffield-Werner)



Ising model with + boundary conditions.

- ► The continuum percolation is still random given its environment: the CLE_{16/3} is *not determined* by the CLE₃
- Generalizes to all CLE_κ for κ ∈ (8/3, 4] and CLE_{16/κ}
 - Mathematical derivation that the scaling limit of the 3-state Potts model should converge to CLE_{10/3} and the 4-state Potts model should converge to CLE₄
- First construction of scaling limit of percolation inside of a fractal gasket
- New proof of the "existence" of CLE_κ for κ ∈ (8/3,4]. Previous proof was based on the connection with Brownian loop-soups (Sheffield-Werner)
- First proof of continuity of SLE_κ(ρ) for ρ < −2</p>



Ising model with + boundary conditions.



• Main tool: coupling of SLE with the GFF. Flow lines of the formal vector field $e^{ih/\chi}$ where *h* is an instance of the GFF and $\chi > 0$ are SLE-type curves



• Main tool: coupling of SLE with the GFF. Flow lines of the formal vector field $e^{ih/\chi}$ where *h* is an instance of the GFF and $\chi > 0$ are SLE-type curves



- Main tool: coupling of SLE with the GFF. Flow lines of the formal vector field e^{ih/χ} where h is an instance of the GFF and χ > 0 are SLE-type curves
- Provides a framework for coupling many SLE curves together so that it is possible to grow them in different orders (i.e., they commute)



- Main tool: coupling of SLE with the GFF. Flow lines of the formal vector field e^{ih/χ} where h is an instance of the GFF and χ > 0 are SLE-type curves
- Provides a framework for coupling many SLE curves together so that it is possible to grow them in different orders (i.e., they commute)
- \blacktriangleright The coupling of ${\rm CLE}_3$ and ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$ turns out to sit exactly in this framework



- Main tool: coupling of SLE with the GFF. Flow lines of the formal vector field e^{ih/χ} where h is an instance of the GFF and χ > 0 are SLE-type curves
- Provides a framework for coupling many SLE curves together so that it is possible to grow them in different orders (i.e., they commute)
- \blacktriangleright The coupling of ${\rm CLE}_3$ and ${\rm CLE}_{16/3}$ turns out to sit exactly in this framework
- ▶ The same is true more generally for CLE_{κ} , $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$ and $\text{CLE}_{16/\kappa}$, $16/\kappa \in [4, 6)$



Thanks!