

## MARKOV CHAINS

### The strong Markov property

Stopping times and statement of the strong Markov property.

The strong Markov property asserts that the process begins afresh not only after any given time  $n$  but also after a randomly chosen time. An example of such a time is  $H^{(i)}$ , the time the chain hits a given state  $i \in I$ . More generally,

**Definition 4.1.** A random variable  $T$  depending on  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  and taking values  $0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$  is called a *stopping time* if the event  $\{T = n\}$  is described in terms of random variables  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  only, without involving  $X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots$ . In other words, the indicator  $\mathbf{1}(T = n) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } T = n, \\ 0, & \text{if } T \neq n, \end{cases}$  is a function of  $X_0, \dots, X_n$ :

$$\mathbf{1}(T = n) = g(X_0, \dots, X_n). \quad (4.1)$$

Pictorially, by watching the chain, you know when you should stop without anticipating future states. The hitting time  $H^A$  is an example of a stopping time as for  $n \geq 1$ :  $\{H^A = 0\} = \{X_0 \in A\}$ , and for  $n \geq 1$ :

$$\{H^A = n\} = \{X_0 \notin A, \dots, X_{n-1} \notin A, X_n \in A\}.$$

When  $A$  is reduced to a single state  $i$ , the hitting time is often called the first passage time:

$$H^j = \inf [n \geq 0 : X_n = j].$$

On the other hand, the last exit time

$$L^A = \sup [n : X_n \in A]$$

is in general not a stopping time as the event  $\{L^A = n\}$  requires knowledge of  $X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X_n, n \geq 0)$  be Markov  $(\lambda, P)$  and assume that  $T$  is a stopping time. Then, conditional on  $T < \infty$  and  $X_T = i$ ,  $(X_{n+T}, n \geq 0)$  is Markov  $(\delta_i, P)$ . In particular, conditional on  $T < \infty$  and  $X_T = i$ , random variables  $X_{T+1}, X_{T+2}, \dots$  are independent of  $X_0, \dots, X_{T-1}$ .

*Proof.* (Non-examinable) Let  $A$  be an event determined by the chain before time  $T$ , i.e., by  $X_0, \dots, X_{T-1}$ , and  $B$  by the chain after time  $T$ , i.e., by  $X_{T+1}, \dots, X_{T+n}$  for some  $n$ . We want to check that  $\forall m \geq 1$  and  $i \in I$ : (i)

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B | T < \infty, X_T = i) = \mathbb{P}(A | T < \infty, X_T = i) \mathbb{P}(B | T < \infty, X_T = i)$$

and (ii) the conditional probability  $\mathbb{P}(B | T < \infty, X_T = i)$  is calculated as in the Markov chain  $(\delta_i, P)$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(B | T < \infty, X_T = i) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in B} p_{ij_1} \cdots p_{j_{n-1}j_n}.$$

As in the proof of the Markov property, we first assume that  $A$  is of the form  $\{X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{m-1} = i_{m-1}\}$  and  $B$  of the form  $\{X_{T+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{T+n} = j_n\}$  for some  $i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, j_1, \dots, j_n \in I$ . Given  $m$ , the event

$$A \cap \{T = m\} \cap \{X_T = i\} = A \cap \{T = m, X_m = i\}$$

is simply

$$\{X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{m-1} = i_{m-1}, X_m = i_m\}$$

if  $T(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, i) = m$  and empty if  $T(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, i) \neq m$ . Then the event  $A \cap B \{T = m, X_T = i\} = A \cap \{T = m, X_m = i\} \cap B$  has probability

$$\lambda_{i_0} p_{i_0 i_1} \cdots p_{i_{m-1} i} \mathbf{1}(T(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, i) = m).$$

For a general  $B$  we have to sum over  $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in B$ :

$$\lambda_{i_0} p_{i_0 i_1} \cdots p_{i_{m-1} i} \mathbf{1}(T(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, i) = m) \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in B} p_{ij_1} \cdots p_{j_{n-1} j_n}.$$

The sum  $\sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in B}$  does not depend on  $m$ ; it gives the conditional probability  $\mathbb{P}(B | T < \infty, X_T = i)$  and is calculated as in the Markov chain  $(\delta_i, P)$ .

For a general  $A$  we now sum over  $(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) \in A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(A \cap B \cap \{T = m, X_T = i\}) \\ = & \sum_{(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) \in A} \lambda_{i_0} p_{i_0 i_1} \cdots p_{i_{m-1} i} \mathbf{1}(T(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, i) = m) \mathbb{P}(B|T < \infty, X_T = i) \\ & = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T = m, X_T = i\}) \mathbb{P}(B|T < \infty, X_T = i). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over  $m$  then gives

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B \cap \{T < \infty, X_T = i\}) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T < \infty, X_T = i\}) \mathbb{P}(B|T < \infty, X_T = i).$$

Finally, dividing by  $\mathbb{P}(T < \infty, X_T = i)$  yields that the conditional probability  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B|T < \infty, X_T = i)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T < \infty, X_T = i\})}{\mathbb{P}(T < \infty, X_T = i)} \mathbb{P}(B|T < \infty, X_T = i) \\ & = \mathbb{P}(A|T < \infty, X_T = i) \mathbb{P}(B|T < \infty, X_T = i) \end{aligned}$$

as required.

The conditional probability  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T = m, X_T = i\} \cap B|X_m = i)$ , given that  $X_m = 1$ , is obtained after division by  $\mathbb{P}(X + m = i) = (\lambda P^m)_i$ ; the ratio is determined by  $X_0, \dots, X_m$ , and the conditional probability

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((A \cap \{T = m\}) \cap \{X_{T+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{T+n} = j_n\} | X_m = i) \\ & = \mathbb{P}((A \cap \{T = m\}) \cap \{X_{m+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{m+n} = j_n\} | X_m = i). \end{aligned}$$

By the Markov property we have the decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((A \cap \{T = m\}) \cap \{X_{m+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{m+n} = j_n\} | X_m = i) \\ & = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T = m\} | X_m = i) \mathbb{P}(X_{m+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{m+n} = j_n | X_m = i) \\ & = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T = m\} | X_m = i) p_{ij_1} \cdots p_{j_{n-1} j_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the unconditional probability

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((A \cap \{T = m\}) \cap \{X_{m+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{m+n} = j_n\} \cap \{X_m = i\}) \\ & = \mathbb{P}((A \cap \{T = m, X_m = i\}) \cap \{X_{m+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{m+n} = j_n\}) \end{aligned}$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T = m\} | X_m = i) \mathbb{P}(X_m = i) p_{ij_1} \cdots p_{j_{n-1} j_n} \\ & = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T = m, X_m = i\}) p_{ij_1} \cdots p_{j_{n-1} j_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over  $m$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((A \cap \{T < \infty, X_T = i\}) \cap \{X_{m+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{m+n} = j_n\}) \\ & = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T < \infty, X_T = i\}) p_{ij_1} \cdots p_{j_{n-1} j_n} \end{aligned}$$

and dividing by  $\mathbb{P}(T < \infty, X_m = i)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{X_{m+1} = j_1, \dots, X_{m+n} = j_n\} | T < \infty, X_T = i) \\ & = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{T < \infty, X_T = i\}) p_{ij_1} \cdots p_{j_{n-1} j_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for a general event  $B$  determined by  $X_{T+1}, \dots, X_{T+n}$  we sum over  $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in B$ .  $\square$

**Examples and remarks. 4.1.** In the homogeneous birth and death process (see Example 3.3), what is the distribution of the hitting time  $H^{(0)} = \inf \{n \geq 0 : X_n = 0\}$  (the time to extinction)? In other words, what the probabilities  $\mathbb{P}_i(H^{(0)} = k)$  for given  $i$  and  $k$ ? These can be found by calculating the probability-generating function (PGF)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(s) & = \mathbb{E}_i \left( s^{H^{(0)}} \right) = \sum_{0 \leq n < \infty} s^n \mathbb{P}_i(H^{(0)} = n) \\ & = s^0 \mathbb{P}_i(H^{(0)} = 0) + s^1 \mathbb{P}_i(H^{(0)} = 1) + s^2 \mathbb{P}_i(H^{(0)} = 2) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

As we know from IA Probability, the PGF determines the probabilities  $\mathbb{P}_i(H^{(0)} = k)$  uniquely.

By the strong Markov property:

$$\phi_i(s) = (\phi(s))^i, \quad i \geq 1, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\phi(s) = \phi_1(s)$ . This becomes apparent after the following argument:

a) Given that  $X_0 = i$ , we can only hit 0 if we first hit state  $i - 1$ . Let  $H^{i \rightarrow i-1}$  denote the corresponding hitting time. After we hit  $i - 1$ , we have to hit  $i - 2$ ; let  $H^{i-1 \rightarrow i-2}$  be the respective hitting time. Continuing in this manner till we introduce the hitting time  $H^{1 \rightarrow 0}$ , we can write

$$H^{(0)} = H^{i \rightarrow i-1} + H^{i-1 \rightarrow i-2} + \dots + H^{1 \rightarrow 0}. \quad (4.4)$$

b) Therefore,

$$\phi_i(s) = \mathbb{E}_i \left( s^{H^{(0)}} \right) = \mathbb{E}_i \left( s^{H^{i-i-1} + H^{i-1-i-2} + \dots + H^{1-0}} \right);$$

by the strong Markov property, this is

$$\mathbb{E}_i \left( s^{H^{i-i-1}} \right) \mathbb{E}_{i-1} \left( s^{H^{i-1-i-2}} \right) \dots \mathbb{E}_1 \left( s^{H^{1-0}} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

Next, by the homogeneity of the process and the strong Markov property again, each factor equals  $\mathbb{E}_1 \left( s^{H^{1-0}} \right) = \phi_1(s)$ , and so

$$(4.5) = (\phi_1(s))^i,$$

as required.

Now we calculate  $\phi_1$ , using the (usual) Markov property:

$$\phi_1(s) = (1-p)s + ps\phi_2(s) = (1-p)s + ps(\phi_1(s))^2. \quad (4.6)$$

We see that  $\phi_1$  satisfies the quadratic equation

$$ps\phi^2 - \phi + (1-p)s = 0,$$

with roots

$$\frac{1}{2ps} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4p(1-p)s^2} \right), \quad 0 < s < 1. \quad (4.7)$$

We choose the  $-$  sign, as the limit  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \psi_1(s)$  gives  $\mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 0)$ , the zero-order coefficient in the right-hand-side of (4.2). But  $\mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 0) = 0$ : we can't hit 0 from 1 in zero steps!

Thus, the answer is

$$\phi_i(s) = \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p(1-p)s^2}}{2ps} \right)^i, \quad i \geq 1. \quad (4.7)$$

A couple of useful properties of PGF  $\phi_1(s)$  is recollected below. First, near  $s = 0$ :

$$\phi_1(s) \Big|_{s \sim 0} \sim \frac{1 - (1 - 2p(1-p)s^2)}{2ps} \sim qs,$$

with  $\phi_1(0) = 0 (= \mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 0))$ , as was noted above. Second, at  $s = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(s) &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p(1-p)}}{2p} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{(1-2p)^2}}{2p} \\ &= \frac{1 - |1 - 2p|}{2p} = \begin{cases} 1, & p \leq 1/2, \\ (1-p)/p, & p > 1/2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(1) &= 1^0 \mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 0) + 1^1 \mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 1) + 1^2 \mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 2) + \dots \\ &= \mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} < +\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

which agrees with previously established equations (3.11).

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_1(1) &= 0 \cdot \mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 0) + 1 \cdot \mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 1) + 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}_1(H^{(0)} = 2) + \dots \\ &= \mathbb{E}_1 H^{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

But from Eqn (3.18) we know that  $\mathbb{E}_1 H^{(0)} = \begin{cases} 1/(1-2p), & p < 1/2, \\ +\infty, & p \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$

Therefore,

$$\phi'_1(1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^-} \phi'_1(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-2p}, & p < \frac{1}{2}, \\ +\infty, & p \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

**5.2.** An important application of the strong Markov property is when you observe the chain only at certain times, for example, when it jumps, i.e., changes its states (when  $X_{n+1} \neq X_n$ ) or enters a subset  $J \subset I$  (i.e.,  $X_n \in J$ ). The new chain is formally described by introducing the sequence of observation times, viz.

$$\widehat{T}_0^J = \inf \{n > 0 : X_n \neq X_{n-1}\}, \text{ or } T_0^J = \inf \{n \geq 0 : X_n \in J\}, \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\widehat{T}_{m+1}^J = \inf \{n > \widehat{T}_m^J : X_n \neq X_{n-1}\}, \text{ or } T_{m+1}^J = \inf \{n > T_m^J : X_n \in J\}. \quad (4.11)$$

Then the chain  $(Y_n, n \geq 0)$  is defined by  $Y_n = X_{\widehat{T}_n^i}$  and the chain  $(X_n^J, n \geq 0)$  by  $X_n^J = X_{T_n^J}$ .

In both examples, each  $\widehat{T}_n^i$  and  $T_n^J$  is a stopping time. The strong Markov property then guarantees that both  $(Y_n)$  and  $(X_n^J)$  are indeed Markov chains:  $(Y_n)$  is called the *jump chain* and  $(X_n^J)$  a partially observed chain generated by  $(X_n)$ .

The transition probabilities for the new chains are straightforward. Let  $P = (p_{ij})$  be the transition matrix of the original chain  $(X_n)$ . Then, in the jump chain  $(Y_n)$ :

$$\widehat{p}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{ij}}{1 - p_{ii}}, & i \neq j, \\ 0, & i = j, \end{cases} \quad i, j \in I, \quad (4.12)$$

and in the partially observed chain  $(X_n^J)$ :

$$p_{ij}^J = p_{ij} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k \in I \setminus J} p_{ij_1} \cdots p_{j_k j}, \quad \text{for } i, j \in J \quad (4.13)$$

(index  $k$  in (4.13) indicates the number of times chain  $(X_n)$  was outside  $J$  before returning to  $J$  (more precisely, to state  $j \in J$ ).