

1. Consider a generalised linear model with vector of responses  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T$  and design matrix  $X$  with  $i^{\text{th}}$  row  $x_i^T$ . Show that if the link function  $g$  is the canonical link, the dispersion parameter  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and the  $a_i = 1$ , then writing  $\hat{\mu}_i = g^{-1}(x_i^T \hat{\beta})$  where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the maximum likelihood estimate of the vector of regression coefficients, we have

$$X^T Y = X^T \hat{\mu}.$$

Conclude also that if an intercept term is included in  $X$  then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

2. Suppose that for some strictly increasing function  $f$ , we have

$$Y_i^* = f(x_i^T \beta^* + \varepsilon_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I)$ , and the  $x_i$  are covariates in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  with first component equal to 1. Suppose that for some constant  $c$ , we observe

$$Y_i := \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_i^* > c\}}.$$

Show that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are independent and

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = \Phi(x_i^T \beta)$$

for some  $\beta$  that you should specify.

3. Below are three R commands, and the corresponding output. What is the model that is being fitted? Interpret the output.

```
> n <- c(9, 10, 15, 25, 32, 33, 37, 46, 46)
> i <- 1:9
> mod <- glm(n ~ i, family=poisson)
> mod$dev
[1] 6.351221
```

4. Consider a two-way contingency table where the row totals are fixed. We model the vectors of the responses in the rows as independent multinomial random variables. More concretely, if  $n_i, i = 1, \dots, I$  denotes the sum of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row, we model the response in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row,  $Y_i$  as

$$Y_i \sim \text{Multi}(n_i; p_{i1}, \dots, p_{iJ}),$$

with  $Y_1, \dots, Y_I$  independent, and

$$p_{ij} = \frac{\exp(x_{ij}^T \beta)}{\sum_{j'=1}^J \exp(x_{ij'}^T \beta)} \in (0, 1).$$

Show that if we instead model the  $j^{\text{th}}$  component of  $Y_i, Y_{ij}$ , as independent Poisson random variables with  $\mathbb{E}(Y_{ij}) = \mu_{ij} > 0$

$$\log(\mu_{ij}) = \alpha_i + x_{ij}^T \beta,$$

then the maximum likelihood estimators of  $\beta$  under the multinomial model and the Poisson model will coincide, provided they are unique. Furthermore, prove that the corresponding estimates for  $\mathbb{E}(Y_{ij})$  from the two models are the same.

5. Show that the log-likelihood for binomial regression with data  $(y_1, x_1), \dots, (y_n, x_n) \in \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{R}^p$  when the response is binary and the canonical link function is used can be written as

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-\tilde{y}_i x_i^T \beta)),$$

where  $\tilde{y}_i = 2y_i - 1$ .

6. You see below the results of using `glm` to analyse data from Agresti (1996) on tennis matches between 5 top women tennis players (1989–90). We let  $y_{ij}$  be the number of wins of player  $i$  against player  $j$ , and let  $n_{ij}$  be the total number of matches of  $i$  against  $j$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$ . Thus we have 10 observations, which we will assume are realisations of independent binomial random variables  $y_{ij}$ , with

$$Y_{ij} \sim \text{Bin}(n_{ij}, \mu_{ij})$$

and

$$\log\left(\frac{\mu_{ij}}{1 - \mu_{ij}}\right) = \alpha_i - \alpha_j.$$

The parameter  $\alpha_i$  represents the quality of player  $i$ . The data are tabulated in R as follows

```
wins tot sel graf saba navr sanc
2 5 1 -1 0 0 0
1 1 1 0 -1 0 0
3 6 1 0 0 -1 0
2 2 1 0 0 0 -1
6 9 0 1 -1 0 0
3 3 0 1 0 -1 0
7 8 0 1 0 0 -1
1 3 0 0 1 -1 0
3 5 0 0 1 0 -1
3 4 0 0 0 1 -1
```

Thus for example, the first row tells us that Seles (`sel`) played Graf five times and won on two occasions. We perform the following R commands (the output has been slightly abbreviated).

```
> fit <- glm(wins/tot ~ sel + graf + saba + navr - 1, binomial, weights=tot)
> summary(fit, correlation=TRUE)
Coefficients:
      Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
sel      1.5331     0.7871   1.948  0.05142 .
graf     1.9328     0.6784   2.849  0.00438 **
saba     0.7309     0.6771   1.079  0.28042
navr     1.0875     0.7237   1.503  0.13289
```

```
Null deviance: 16.1882 on 10 degrees of freedom
```

Residual deviance: 4.6493 on 6 degrees of freedom

Correlation of Coefficients:

```
sel graf saba
graf 0.59
saba 0.46 0.60
navr 0.63 0.54 0.49
```

Note the -1 in the model formula removes the intercept term that would otherwise be included by default.

- Why do we not include an intercept when fitting the model in R?
- Why is Sánchez (**sanc**) not included in the model formula?
- If we assume that small dispersion asymptotics are relevant (which to be fair they may not be as the  $n_i$  are rather small), should we reject our model in favour of the saturated model?
- Can we confidently (at the 5% level) say that Graf is better than Sanchez?
- Can we confidently (at the 5% level) say that Graf is better than Seles? [Use the correlation matrix and a calculator, or R (but write out your calculations.  $\mathbb{P}(Z \leq 1.64) \approx 0.95$  when  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ .]
- What is your estimate of the probability that Sabatini (**saba**) beats Sánchez, in a single match? Give a 95% confidence interval for this probability. [Use a calculator or R.  $\mathbb{P}(Z \leq 1.96) \approx 0.975$  when  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ]

7. (Long Tripos 2005/4/13I)

- Suppose that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are independent random variables, and that  $Y_1$  has probability density function

$$f(y_i|\beta, \nu) = \left(\frac{\nu y_i}{\mu_i}\right)^\nu e^{-y_i \nu / \mu_i} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \frac{1}{y_i} \quad \text{for } y_i > 0$$

where

$$1/\mu_i = \beta^T x_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are given  $p$ -dimensional vectors, and  $\nu$  is known.

Show that  $\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = \mu_i$  and that  $\text{var}(Y_i) = \mu_i^2/\nu$ .

- Find the score equation for  $\hat{\beta}$ , the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\beta$ , and suggest an iterative scheme for its solution.
- If  $p = 2$ , and  $x_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z_i \end{pmatrix}$ , find the large-sample distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_2$ . Write your answer in terms of  $a, b, c$  and  $\nu$ , where  $a, b, c$  are defined by

$$a = \sum \mu_i^2, \quad b = \sum z_i \mu_i^2, \quad c = \sum z_i^2 \mu_i^2.$$

8. Data on the frequency with which students go out, their gender and subject type (classified as either arts or sciences) are available on the course webpage and can be downloaded using

```
> file_path <-
+ "http://www.statslab.cam.ac.uk/~rds37/teaching/statistical_modelling/"
> SD_data <- read.csv(paste0(file_path, "SD_go_out_gender_subj.csv"))
```

You can view the data in contingency table format using

```
> xtabs(Freq ~ go_out + subject + gender, data=SD_data)
```

Think about questions of interest for this data and fit appropriate models to study these questions. What are your conclusions?

9. We wish to study how various explanatory variables may contribute to the development of asthma in children. One way to do this would be to randomly select  $n$  newborn babies and then study them for the first 5 years, measuring the values of the relevant covariates and noting down whether they develop asthma or not within the study period. However, this sort of experiment may be too expensive to carry out, and instead, we acquire the medical records of some children who developed asthma within the first five years of their life, and some children who did not. Luckily the medical records contain all the covariates we intended to measure.

We can imagine that the records we obtain are a sample from a large collection of data  $(y_1, x_1), \dots, (y_N, x_N) \in \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{R}^p$ , where each  $y_i$  indicates the development of asthma and can be considered as a realisation of a Bernoulli random variable  $Y_i$  with  $\pi_i := \mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1) \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i}\right) = \alpha + x_i^T \beta,$$

and all the  $Y_i$  are independent. Let  $Z_i$  indicate whether  $(Y_i, x_i)$  is in our sample: 1 if it is, 0 if not. Suppose that for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_i = 1 | Y_i = 1) = p_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(Z_i = 1 | Y_i = 0) = p_0,$$

where  $p_1, p_0 > 0$  are unknown, and further that the  $(Y_i, Z_i)$  are all independent. Show that

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1 | Z_i = 1)}{1 - \mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1 | Z_i = 1)} = \frac{p_1}{p_0} \exp(\alpha + x_i^T \beta).$$

Conclude that it is possible to estimate  $\beta$  from our medical records data, but not  $\alpha$ .