## MODERN STATISTICAL METHODS Example Sheet 2 (of 4)

1. Let  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector of responses,  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  a design matrix,  $J : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  a strictly increasing function and  $c : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  some cost function. Set  $K = \Phi \Phi^T$ . Show, without using the representer theorem, that  $\hat{\theta}$  minimises

$$Q_1(\theta) := c(Y, \Phi\theta) + J(\|\theta\|_2^2)$$

over  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  if and only if  $\Phi \hat{\theta} = K \hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}$  minimises

$$Q_2(\alpha) := c(Y, K\alpha) + J(\alpha^T K\alpha)$$

over  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hint: Consider  $\Pi$ , the orthogonal projection on to the row space of  $\Phi$ .

- 2. Let  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and let  $\psi \in \{-1, 1\}^p$  be a random vector with independent components taking the values -1, 1 each with probability 1/2. Show that  $\mathbb{E}(\psi^T x \psi^T x') = x^T x'$ . Construct a random feature map  $\hat{\phi} : \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbb{E}\{\hat{\phi}(x)\hat{\phi}(x')\} = (x^T x')^2$ .
- 3. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all subsets of  $\{1, \ldots, p\}$  and let  $z, z' \in \mathcal{X}$ . Let k be the Jaccard similarity kernel. Let  $\pi$  be a random permutation of  $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ . Let  $M = \min\{\pi(j) : j \in z\}$ ,  $M' = \min\{\pi(j) : j \in z'\}$ . Show that

$$\mathbb{P}(M = M') = k(z, z')$$

when  $z, z' \neq \emptyset$ . Now let  $\psi \in \{-1, 1\}^p$  be a random vector with i.i.d. components taking the values -1 or 1, each with probability 1/2. By considering  $\mathbb{E}(\psi_M \psi_{M'})$  show that the Jaccard similarity kernel is indeed a kernel. Explain how we can use the ideas above to approximate kernel ridge regression with Jaccard similarity, when *n* is very large (you may assume that none of the data points are the empty set).

4. Consider the logistic regression model where we assume  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \in \{-1, 1\}$  are independent and

$$\log\left(\frac{\mathbb{P}(Y_i=1)}{\mathbb{P}(Y_i=-1)}\right) = x_i^T \beta^0.$$

Show that the maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  minimises

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\{1 + \exp(-Y_i x_i^T \beta)\}$$

over  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

- 5\*. Consider the following algorithm for model selection when we have a response  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and matrix of predictors  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ .
  - (a) First centre Y and all the columns of X. Initialise the current model  $M \subseteq \{1, \ldots, p\}$  to be  $\emptyset$  and set the current residual R to be Y.
  - (b) Find the variable  $k^*$  in  $M^c$  having the highest correlation in absolute value with the current residual R. Set M to be  $M \cup \{k^*\}$ . Replace R with the residual from regressing R on  $X_{k^*}$ . Further replace each variable in  $M^c$  with the residual from regressing itself on  $X_{k^*}$ .

(c) Continue the previous step until R = 0.

Show that this algorithm is equivalent to forward selection. *Hint: Use induction on the iteration m of the algorithm. Consider strengthening the natural inductive hypothesis that the model at iteration m is the same as that selected after m steps of forward selection.* 

- 6. Show that if W is mean-zero and sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma$ , then  $\operatorname{Var}(W) \leq \sigma^2$ .
- 7. Verify Hoeffding's lemma for the special case where W is a Rademacher random variable, so W takes the values -1, 1 each with probability 1/2.
- 8. (a) Let  $W \sim \chi_d^2$ . Show that

$$\mathbb{P}(|W/d - 1| \ge t) \le 2e^{-dt^2/8}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . You may use the facts that the mgf of a  $\chi_1^2$  random variable is  $1/\sqrt{1-2\alpha}$  for  $\alpha < 1/2$ , and  $e^{-\alpha}/\sqrt{1-2\alpha} \le e^{2\alpha^2}$  when  $|\alpha| < 1/4$ .

(b) Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times p}$  have i.i.d. standard normal entries. Fix  $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Use the result above to conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{\|Au\|_{2}^{2}}{d\|u\|_{2}^{2}}-1\right| \ge t\right) \le 2e^{-dt^{2}/8}.$$

(c) Suppose we have (data)  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$  (note each  $u_i$  is a vector), with p large and  $n \geq 2$ . Show that for a given  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $d > 16 \log(n/\sqrt{\epsilon})/t^2$ , each data point may be compressed down to  $u_i \mapsto Au_i/\sqrt{d} = w_i$  whilst approximately preserving the distances between the points:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(1-t \le \frac{\|w_i - w_j\|_2^2}{\|u_i - u_j\|_2^2} \le 1 + t \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \ i \ne j\right) \ge 1-\epsilon.$$

This is the famous Johnson–Lindenstrauss Lemma.

In the following questions assume that  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  has had its columns centred and scaled to have  $\ell_2$ -norm  $\sqrt{n}$ , and that  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is also centred.

- 9. Show that any two Lasso solutions when  $\lambda > 0$  must have the same  $\ell_1$ -norm.
- 10. A convex combination of a set of points  $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d'}$  is any point of the form

$$\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_m v_m,$$

where  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha_j \geq 0$  for j = 1, ..., m, and  $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j = 1$ . Carathéodory's Lemma states that if S is in a subspace of dimension d, any v that is a convex combination of points in S can be expressed as a convex combination of d + 1 points from S i.e. there exist  $j_1, \ldots, j_{d+1} \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$  and non-negative reals  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d+1}$  summing to 1 with

$$v = \alpha_1 v_{j_1} + \dots + \alpha_{d+1} v_{j_{d+1}}.$$

With this knowledge, show that for any value of  $\lambda$ , there is always a Lasso solution with no more than n non-zero coefficients.

- 11. Show that if  $\lambda \geq \lambda_{\max} := \|X^T Y\|_{\infty}/n$ , then  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{L}} = 0$ .
- 12. Show that when the columns of X are orthogonal (so necessarily  $p \leq n$ ) and scaled to have  $\ell_2$ -norm  $\sqrt{n}$ , the kth component of the Lasso estimator is given by

$$\hat{\beta}_{\lambda,k}^{L} = (|\hat{\beta}_{k}^{\text{OLS}}| - \lambda) + \operatorname{sgn}(\hat{\beta}_{k}^{\text{OLS}})$$

where  $(\cdot)_{+} = \max(0, \cdot)$ . What is the corresponding estimator if the  $\ell_1$  penalty  $\|\beta\|_1$  in the Lasso objective is replaced by the  $\ell_0$  penalty  $\|\beta\|_0 := |\{k : \beta_k \neq 0\}|$ ?