

Problem 1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed exponential random variables with parameter λ . Prove

$$\limsup_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

almost surely.

Solution 1. Fix an $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathbb{P}(X_n > t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_n}{\log n} > 1/\lambda + \epsilon\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\lambda\epsilon} < +\infty$$

we have by the first Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$\limsup_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} + \epsilon$$

almost surely.

Also, since the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_n}{\log n} > 1/\lambda\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} = +\infty$$

we have by the second Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$\limsup_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

almost surely.

By the sequential continuity of \mathbb{P} the event

$$\left\{ \limsup_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \right\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq \limsup_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{k} \right\}$$

has probability one.

Problem 2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent absolutely continuous random variables such that X_n has density function f_n given by

$$f_n(x) = \frac{n}{\pi(1 + n^2x^2)}.$$

With respect to which modes of convergence does X_n converge to zero as $n \uparrow \infty$?

Solution 2. Since $\mathbb{E}|X_n|^p = +\infty$ for all $p \geq 1$, the sequence X_1, X_2, \dots does not converge in any L^p . On the other hand for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n| > \epsilon) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon n}\right) \approx \frac{2}{\pi n \epsilon}$$

for large n . Hence $X_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability and in distribution. But since X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n| > \epsilon) = +\infty$ then $|X_n| > \epsilon$ infinitely often almost surely by the second Borel-Cantelli lemma. Thus X_n does not converge to zero almost surely.

Problem 3. Let $M(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{tX})$ be the moment generating function of a random variable X . Prove

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq \epsilon) \leq \inf_{t \geq 0} e^{-\epsilon t} M(t).$$

Solution 3. For every $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq \epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(e^{tX} \geq e^{\epsilon t}) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(e^{tX})}{e^{\epsilon t}}$$

by Markov's inequality. Since the inequality holds for each $t \geq 0$, it holds for the infimum.

Problem 4. Let X and Y be jointly normal with zero means and unit variances and correlation ρ . Prove

$$\mathbb{P}(X > 0, Y > 0) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin^{-1} \rho.$$

Solution 4. Let $Z = (1 - \rho^2)^{-1/2}(Y - \rho X)$. Since the random variables X and Y are jointly normal, the random variables X and Z are also jointly normal. Also, since $\text{Cov}(X, Z) = 0$, then X and Z are independent.

By changing from Cartesian to polar coordinates $(x, z) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X > 0, Y > 0) &= \mathbb{P}(X > 0, \rho X + (1 - \rho^2)^{1/2} Z > 0) \\ &= \iint_{x > 0, \rho x + (1 - \rho^2)^{1/2} z > 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-(x^2 + z^2)/2} dx dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\theta = -\sin^{-1} \rho}^{\pi/2} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} r e^{-r^2/2} dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin^{-1} \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5. If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L_1 then prove $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X)$. If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L_2 then prove $\text{Var}(X_n) \rightarrow \text{Var}(X)$.

Solution 5. If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^1 then $|\mathbb{E}(X_n) - \mathbb{E}(X)| = |\mathbb{E}(X_n - X)| \leq \mathbb{E}|X_n - X| \rightarrow 0$ by Jensen's inequality.

Now if $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^2 , then $\mathbb{E}|X_n - X| \leq \mathbb{E}[(X_n - X)^2]^{1/2}$ by Jensen's inequality, so $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^1 and, by the first part, $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) - \mathbb{E}(X^2)| &\leq \mathbb{E}(|X_n - X||X_n + X|) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[(X_n - X)^2]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}[(X_n + X)^2]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[(X_n - X)^2] \rightarrow 0$ there exists an N such that $\mathbb{E}[(X_n - X)^2] \leq 1$ for all $n \geq N$. Hence, for $n \geq N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X_n + X)^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(X_n - X + 2X)^2] \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}[(X_n - X)^2] + 8\mathbb{E}(X^2) \\ &\leq 2 + 8\mathbb{E}(X^2) \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that $\mathbb{E}[(X_n + X)^2]$ is bounded uniformly in n . Hence $\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X^2)$ and thus $\text{Var}(X_n) \rightarrow \text{Var}(X)$ as desired.

Problem 6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of random variables such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{n \geq 1} |X_n| < \infty$. If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability, then prove $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L_1 .

Solution 6. Let $Z = \sup_{n \geq 1} |X_n|$. We first prove that $|X| \leq Z$ almost surely. We could appeal to Problem 11 to assert the existence of a subsequence $(X_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ such that $X_{n_k} \rightarrow X$ almost surely. Hence $|X| \leq \sup_{k \geq 1} |X_{n_k}| \leq Z$ almost surely.

Alternatively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X| > Z + \epsilon) &= \mathbb{P}(|X| > Z + \epsilon, |X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) + \mathbb{P}(|X| > Z + \epsilon, |X_n - X| < \epsilon) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n| > Z) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \uparrow \infty$ and hence $X \leq Z + \epsilon$ almost surely. By either method, we can conclude that the event $\{X \leq Z\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{X \leq Z + 1/k\}$ has probability one. Note, in particular, the inequality $|X_n - X| \leq |X_n| + |X| \leq 2Z$ holds almost surely.

Next we claim that if A_1, A_2, \dots is a sequence of events with $\mathbb{P}(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ then $\mathbb{E}(Z \mathbb{1}_{A_n}) \rightarrow 0$. Pick a $z > 0$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Z \mathbb{1}_{A_n}) &= \mathbb{E}(Z \mathbb{1}_{A_n \cap \{|Z| > z\}}) + \mathbb{E}(Z \mathbb{1}_{A_n \cap \{|Z| \leq z\}}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(Z \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| > z\}}) + z\mathbb{P}(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \uparrow \infty$ first and then $z \uparrow \infty$ proves the claim.

Now, fix an $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_n - X| &= \mathbb{E}(|X_n - X| \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon\}}) + \mathbb{E}(|X_n - X| \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}}) \\ &\leq \epsilon + 2\mathbb{E}(Z \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}}) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $A_n = \{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}$ in the above claim and letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ implies $\mathbb{E}|X_n - X| \rightarrow 0$ as desired.

[Note that this is a version of the dominated convergence theorem that holds under a weaker hypothesis than the one proved in the lecture.]

Problem 7. Let X_2, X_3, \dots be a sequence of independent random variables such that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = n) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = -n) = \frac{1}{2n \log n}; \quad \mathbb{P}(X_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n \log n}.$$

Let $S_n = X_2 + \dots + X_n$. Prove that $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability, but not almost surely. (That is, the weak law of large numbers holds, but not the strong law.)

Solution 7. Since the X_n 's are independent and $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) = \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{n}{\log n}.$$

Hence by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{|S_n|}{n} > \epsilon\right) < \frac{1}{n^2 \epsilon^2} \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{n}{\log n} < \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \log n} \rightarrow 0$$

where we have made use of the fact that $x \rightarrow x/\log x$ is increasing for $x > e$. Hence $S_n/n \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

On the other hand, since the random variables X_2, X_3, \dots are independent and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n = n) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n \log n} = +\infty,$$

the second Borel-Cantelli lemma asserts that there exists an event $E \subset \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(E) = 1$ such that for all $\omega \in E$ the equation $X_n(\omega) = n$ is satisfied for an infinite number of n 's. But if $X_n(\omega) = n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - \frac{S_{n-1}(\omega)}{n-1} &= \frac{X_n(\omega) + S_{n-1}}{n} - \frac{S_{n-1}(\omega)}{n-1} \\ &= 1 - \frac{S_{n-1}(\omega)}{n(n-1)} \\ &\geq 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality $S_{n-1} = X_2 + \dots + X_{n-1} \leq 1 + \dots + n-1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. For each $\omega \in E$ the inequality $\frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - \frac{S_{n-1}(\omega)}{n-1} \geq 1/2$ holds for infinitely many n 's. Thus the sequence $(S_n(\omega)/n)_n$ diverges for each $\omega \in E$.

Problem 8. Let X be a random variable and let $K_X(t) = \log \mathbb{E}(e^{tX})$ be the logarithm of the moment generating function. Prove that K_X is convex. Suppose that K_X has a Taylor series

$$K_X(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} k_n(X) t^n.$$

Compute $k_1(X)$, $k_2(X)$, and $k_3(X)$ in terms of the moments of X . If X and Y are independent, prove that $k_n(X+Y) = k_n(X) + k_n(Y)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Solution 8. We give two proofs of the convexity of K_X . Proof 1:

$$K_X''(t) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X^2 e^{tX})\mathbb{E}(e^{tX}) - \mathbb{E}(X e^{tX})^2}{\mathbb{E}(e^{tX})^2} \geq 0$$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality $\mathbb{E}(YZ) \leq [\mathbb{E}(Y^2)]^{1/2}[\mathbb{E}(Z^2)]^{1/2}$ with $Y = e^{tX/2}$ and $Z = X e^{tX/2}$.

Proof 2: Let $0 < \lambda < 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} K_X(\lambda s + (1 - \lambda)t) &= \log \mathbb{E}[(e^{sX})^\lambda (e^{tX})^{1-\lambda}] \\ &\leq \log[\mathbb{E}(e^{sX})]^\lambda [\mathbb{E}(e^{tX})]^{1-\lambda} \\ &= \lambda K_X(s) + (1 - \lambda)K_X(t) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Hölder’s inequality $\mathbb{E}(YZ) \leq [\mathbb{E}(Y^p)]^{1/p}[\mathbb{E}(Z^q)]^{1/q}$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$, with $Y = e^{sX}$, $Z = e^{tX}$, and $p = 1/\lambda$. [Note that the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality is the special case of Hölder’s inequality with $p = 2$.]

Now, if a function f has a Taylor series

$$f(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t^3 + \dots$$

converging on some neighborhood of the origin, then

$$\log f(t) = a_1 t + (a_2 - \frac{1}{2}a_1^2)t^2 + (a_3 - a_1 a_2 + \frac{1}{3}a_1^3)t^3 + \dots$$

converging on some (possibly smaller) neighborhood of the origin.

Now, for a moment generating function $M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{tX})$, finite in some neighborhood of the origin, we have

$$M_X(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(X^n)}{n!} t^n.$$

Matching coefficients yields $k_1(X) = \mathbb{E}(X)$, $k_2(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - \mathbb{E}(X)^2 = \text{Var}(X)$, and $k_3(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^3) - 3\mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(X^2) + 2\mathbb{E}(X)^3$.

[The number $k_n(X)$ is called the n -th cumulant of X , and the function K_X is called the cumulant generating function. Note that a random variable is normal if and only if its cumulant generating function is quadratic.]

If X and Y are independent then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} k_n(X + Y) t^n &= \log \mathbb{E}(e^{t(X+Y)}) \\ &= \log \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}) + \log \mathbb{E}(e^{tY}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} k_n(X) t^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} k_n(Y) t^n \end{aligned}$$

and $k_n(X + Y) = k_n(X) + k_n(Y)$ by the uniqueness of Taylor series.

Problem 9. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent random variables each uniformly distributed uniformly $[0, 1]$. Let $M_n = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. Prove that nM_n converges in distribution to an exponential random variable with parameter one.

Solution 9. For $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n > t) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > t, \dots, X_n > t) = (1 - t)^n$$

Hence, the distribution function $F_n(t) = \mathbb{P}(nM_n \leq t)$ of nM_n is given by

$$F_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < 0 \\ 1 - (1 - t/n)^n & \text{if } 0 \leq t < n \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq n \end{cases}$$

and $F_n(t) \rightarrow 1 - e^{-t}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Problem 10. If a sequence of random variables $X_n \rightarrow c$ in distribution, where c is a constant, then prove $X_n \rightarrow c$ in probability.

Solution 10. We are given that $\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t) \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{[c, \infty)}(t)$ for all $t \neq c$. Fixing $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - c| \leq \epsilon) &= \mathbb{P}(c - \epsilon \leq X_n \leq c + \epsilon) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq c + \epsilon) - \mathbb{P}(X_n < c - \epsilon) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq c + \epsilon) - \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq c - \epsilon) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{[c, \infty)}(c + \epsilon) - \mathbb{1}_{[c, \infty)}(c - \epsilon) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - c| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as desired.

Problem 11. Let $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability. Prove that there exists a subsequence X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}, \dots such that $X_{n_k} \rightarrow X$ almost surely.

Solution 11. Since $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a subsequence X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}, \dots such that $\mathbb{P}(|X_{n_k} - X| > 1/k) \leq 1/k^2$. For this subsequence we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_{n_k} - X| > 1/k) < \infty$$

so that by the first Borel–Cantelli lemma, $\mathbb{P}(|X_{n_k} - X| > 1/k \text{ infinitely often}) = 0$. We are done since the events $\{|X_{n_k} - X| \leq 1/k \text{ eventually}\}$ and $\{X_n \rightarrow X\}$ are equal.

Problem 12. Let U be uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. Let the conditional distribution of X given U be binomial with parameters U and n . Find the distribution of X .

Solution 12. If Y is binomial with parameters n and p , then there are n independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter p such that $Y = Z_1 + \dots + Z_n$. In particular, the probability generating function of Y is given by $G_Y(s) = (G_Z(s))^n = (1 - p + ps)^n$.

Now consider the probability generating function of X :

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_X(s) = \mathbb{E}(s^X) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(s^X|U)) \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[(1 + (s - 1)U)^n] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{s^{n+1} - 1}{s - 1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n+1} (1 + s + s^2 + \dots + s^n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence X is uniformly distributed on $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

[Alternatively, one could proceed directly:

$$\mathbb{P}(X = k) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(X = k|U)] = \mathbb{E}\binom{n}{k} U^k (1 - U)^{n-k} = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

This approach requires the formula:

$$\int_0^1 u^m (1 - u)^n du = \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!}.$$

The above formula is true in more generality:

$$\int_0^1 u^{s-1} (1 - u)^{t-1} du = \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(t)}{\Gamma(s+t)}$$

for $s, t > 0$ where $\Gamma(q) = \int_0^\infty x^{q-1} e^{-x} dx$ is the gamma function. The above integral defines the beta function $B(s, t)$.]

Problem 13. The random variables X and Y are distributed uniformly on the disk $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ Find the density of the random variable X/Y .

Solution 13. The joint density f of (X, Y) is given by $f(x, y) = 1/\pi$ for $x^2 + y^2 \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(X/Y \leq t) &= \iint_{x/y \leq t, x^2 + y^2 < 1} \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the density f of X/Y is given by $f(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+t^2}$. That is, the random variable X/Y has the Cauchy distribution.