

III. TWO-PERSON ZERO-SUM GAMES

- Player I chooses among m strategies.
- Player II chooses among n strategies.
- If Player I chooses strategy i and Player II chooses strategy j , then
 - Player I is paid $\mathcal{L}a_{i,j}$, and
 - Player II is paid $\mathcal{L}(-a_{i,j})$.
- The matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j}$ is called the *payoff* matrix of the game.

We think of these players playing this game over and over again. In most games, it is not a good idea to play the same strategy every time. Hence, we consider *mixed strategies*:

- Player I plays strategy i with probability p_i , and
- Player II plays strategy j with probability q_j .

A *pure* strategy is a mixed strategy that assigns probability 1 to one of the strategies and 0 to the rest.

The players' problems

- Player I's problem: maximize $\min_j \sum_{i=1}^m p_i a_{i,j}$ subject to $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$ and $p_i \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.
- Player II's problem: minimize $\max_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} q_j$ subject to $\sum_{j=1}^n q_j = 1$ and $q_j \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Using the notation $e = (1, \dots, 1)^T$ for a column vector in \mathbb{R}^m or \mathbb{R}^n as context dictates, Player I's problem is

$$P : \text{maximize } v \text{ subject to } A^T p \geq ve, \quad e^T p = 1, \quad p \geq 0.$$

Player II's problem is the dual

$$D : \text{minimize } w \text{ subject to } Aq \leq we, \quad e^T q = 1, \quad q \geq 0.$$

Theorem. If vectors $p^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $q^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v^* \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

- $A^T p^* \geq v^* e$, $e^T p^* = 1$, $p^* \geq 0$ (primal feasibility)
- $Aq^* \leq v^* e$, $e^T q^* = 1$, $q^* \geq 0$ (dual feasibility)
- $v^* = (p^*)^T Aq^*$ (complementary slackness)

then the pair v^*, p^* is optimal for P , the pair v^*, q^* is optimal for D , and v^* is called the *value of the game*.

Proof: Let v, p be feasible for P and w, q be feasible for D . Then we have the usual weak duality calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} v &\leq v + q^T(A^T p - ve) \\ &= q^T A^T p \\ &= w + p^T(Aq - we) \leq w \end{aligned}$$

Hence if v^*, p^* is feasible for P and v^*, q^* is feasible for D then $v \leq v^* \leq w$ proving the optimality of v^*, p^* and v^*, q^* for their respective problems. \square

Finding optimal mixed strategies for games

(1) *Look for a saddle point.* If a saddle point (i, j) exists, then the optimal strategy for player I is the pure strategy i , and the optimal strategy for player II is the pure strategy j . The value of the game is $a_{i,j}$.

(2) *Look for dominating strategies.* A row i dominates row i' if

$$a_{i,j} \geq a_{i',j} \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n$$

Player I should never play strategy i' . Similarly, a column j dominates column j' if

$$a_{i,j} \leq a_{i,j'} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Player II never plays strategy j' .

(3) *Draw a picture.*

(4) *Find a solution satisfying the conditions of the theorem.*

(5) *Use the simplex algorithm.* If $\min_{i,j} a_{i,j} > 0$ we can put the problem in a form to use the simplex algorithm as follows:

Let $x = p/v$ so that problem P becomes

$$\text{maximize } v \text{ subject to } A^T x \geq e, e^T x = 1/v, x \geq 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\text{minimize } e^T x \text{ subject to } A^T x \geq e, x \geq 0$$

We could use the two-phase method. Or we could look at the dual problem

$$\text{maximize } e^T y \text{ subject to } A^T y \leq e, y \geq 0$$

which is exactly in the form to use the one-phase method.