



UNSW
THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES

Faculty of
Business

“Do foreign investors pay more for stocks in the United States?”

An analysis by country of origin”

(Jerry T. Parwada, Terry S. Walter, Donald Winchester)



Motivation and research questions

■ Motivation:

- Transaction costs reduce gross investment returns. When foreign investors are at a disadvantage (on average) in economic terms to locals this will likely impede local equity investment, decrease liquidity and increase the cost of capital to local firms.

■ Research Questions:

- Do foreign investors suffer from a ‘foreignness’ disadvantage on realised prices when trading equities outside their market?
- What explains the difference in implicit transaction costs incurred by foreign institutional investors relative to those of domestic institutional investors?



Agenda

- Contribution
- Literature Review
- Data and Methods
- Univariate Results
- Multivariate Results
- Conclusions



Contribution

- Compare trading performance (implicit transaction costs) of domestic and foreign institution investors in the U.S.
 - Across trade sizes
 - Across types of equity securities
 - Across different trading venues
 - Attempt to offer explanations other than trade related reasons for the foreigner-local performance difference
- In the international finance literature:
 - Provide implicit transaction cost evidence towards, puzzle for reason of 'gap' in return differentials in favour of U.S. (Gourinchas & Rey, 2006).
 - Show 'gap' not due entirely to 'tax shifting' (Krugman, 2006).



Literature on foreign versus domestic traders

■ Foreign disadvantage:

- Choe, Kho, & Stulz, (CKS) 2005, 1999 – Korea data; Bonser-Neal, Linnan, and Neal, (1999) and Dvorak (2005) – Indonesian data;
- Eleswarapu & Venkataraman (2002) show non-US factors influence trading cost of cross-listed stock.
- Kang & Stulz (1997), Brennan & Cao (1997) locals' gain due to information asymmetry.

■ Contrary findings – Foreigners are better traders:

- Grinblatt and Keloharju, (2000) - Finland
- Seasholes, 2000 – Japan



Main hypotheses on causes of foreigner disadvantage

- Local trading environment
 - Trade, stock and market characteristics (CKS and others)
 - Locals extracting rents for prior investments in trading infrastructure?
- Information asymmetry
 - Geography still relevant in finance (Coval and Moskowitz (1999))
 - Relationship between bilateral trade and cross-border flows now well established (Obstfeld and Rogoff (2000))
- Institutional background matters
 - Anchoring and heuristics [Slovic & Lichtenstein (1971); Tversky & Kahneman (1974); Kahneman & Tversky (1979)].
 - In theory agents' culture and prior institutional backgrounds influence economic outcomes (North (1990, 1991), Knack and Keefer (1995, 1997) Zak and Knack (2001), Guiso, Sapienza and Zengales (2006))
 - However, limited empirical application in finance. One banking exception (Grosse & Golberg (1991))



Data and sources

- *Research period*: 1 July 1999 to 30 September 2004
(Total of 1,320 trade days – excludes 9/11 trading)
- *Trade level data*: U.S (Domestic) Transactions Cost Data (from Abel-Noser)
- *Time series data*: DataStream (MSCI), CRSP, Compustat, SIRCA (Reuters)
- *Country of origin data*: Transactions Cost Data (from Elkins-McSherry); Economic Freedom Index, Capital Market Governance, Trade Imports and Exports & GDP, S&P etc.
- *Other U.S. data*: Chicago Board Options Exchange Volatility Index (VIX)



Price ratios ($B_{i,j}^d/A_i^d$) based on VWAP measures

$$A_i^d = \frac{\sum_t P_i^{dt} V_i^{dt}}{\sum_t V_i^{dt}}$$

$$B_{i,j}^d = \frac{\sum_t P_{i,j}^{dt} V_{i,j}^{dt}}{\sum_t V_{i,j}^{dt}}$$



Price ratios (Cont.)

- P_i^{dt} , is price and V_i^{dt} , is volume of stock i on day d (week) for trade t respectively.
- We compute the price ratio, $(B_{i,j}^d/A_i^d)$ for all purchases (sales) by institutional investor of type j for stock i on a given day d ;
 - Price ratio > 1 for buys = Investor type buys above average price
 - Price ratio < 1 for sells = Investor type sells above average price
 - Difference in price ratios for respective investor types = relative disadvantage of one against the other
- Then take the mean difference of Domestic from Foreign (column headed 'D-F x 100').
- Split total (*ALL*) sample by trade value: *SMALL* $< \text{US\$}20,000$; *MEDIUM* between $\text{US\$}20,000$ and $\text{US\$}150,000$; *LARGE* $> \text{US\$}150,000$
- Split full sample by trade venue



Univariate results: Equally weighted (from Table 2)

	Domestic Trades (D)		Foreign Trades (F)			$H0$: D - F = 0	
Sample/Market	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	D-F x100	t-Statistic	p-value
Panel A: Full sample							
Daily_All Buy (47,427)	1.0001	0.0089	1.0005	0.0088	-0.0326	-5.35	0
Daily_All Sell (40,863)	1	0.0093	0.9996	0.0101	0.0408	5.74	0
Daily_Large Buy (20,812)	1.0002	0.0093	1.0004	0.0088	-0.0182	-1.81	0.07
Daily_Large Sell (18,723)	1	0.0096	0.9997	0.0091	0.0369	3.52	0
Daily_Medium Buy (21,148)	1.0001	0.0144	1.0003	0.0092	-0.0225	-1.92	0.05
Daily_Medium Sell (17,393)	1.0002	0.0092	0.9998	0.0107	0.0453	4.65	0
Daily_Small Buy (16,694)	1.0001	0.0101	1.0001	0.0108	-0.0051	-0.52	0.6
Daily_Small Sell (12,840)	1.007	0.7738	0.9999	0.0121	0.7070	1.03	0.3



Univariate results summary: foreign disadvantage

- Foreign investors on average buy high and sell low
 - Roundtrip foreign disadvantage of approximately 7.4 (6.3) basis points
 - Regardless of trade size, trade venue, stock type, or trade duration
 - ‘Flag of Convenience’ countries tax haven or offshore financial centre status may confound findings
 - Weekly equally weighted results larger than daily. For example, the total sample foreign disadvantage for buys (sells) is 19.1 (20.5) bps. Disadvantage for worked trades.
 - Exclude tax havens and AMEX (too few observations) in subsequent analyses.
 - Exclude weekly analyses to be conservative and for brevity.



Regression determinants

- Stock, momentum-, and trade-related variables
 - *LNSIZE* = natural log market value of equity on the previous day
 - *BTM* = book-to-market ratio on the previous day
 - *EXCHRET* representing U.S. stock exchanges NYSE, and NASDAQ, is composite. index returns for the day (%) applicable to each mode of trade exchange mechanism.
 - *CTC5* = previous 5-day return (%) (previous 6th day close to previous close)
 - *CTO* = overnight return (%) (previous close to opening price)
 - *OTC* = day-time return (%) (opening to close price) (see CKS, Choe et al, 1999)
 - *AVGBAS* = average of previous 30 daily bid-ask spreads (> 20 daily observations required), where daily bid-ask spread (%) = $(\text{ask} - \text{bid}) / [(\text{ask} + \text{bid}) / 2]$ prior to market close.
 - *AVGS/G* = average of previous 30 daily volatilities (at least 20 daily observations required), where daily volatility (%) = $(\text{high} - \text{low}) / [(\text{high} + \text{low}) / 2]$



Regression determinants (Contd.)

- Stock, momentum-, and trade-related variables (Contd.)
 - *AVGTURN* = average of previous 30 daily turnover ratios (at least 20 daily observations required), where daily turnover ratio (%) = total share trading volume for the day/total shares outstanding for the firm.
 - *AVGDF* = buy (or sell) trade value differences between domestic and foreign investor groups for a stock-day / total trade value for the stock-day (%)
 - *TRADEDIFF*, trade difficulty = the ratio of the shares included in the order at decision time to the average daily trading volume over the preceding five trading days (see Chakravarty et al, 2004)
 - *VIX* = CBOE Volatility Index (gauge of investor sentiment)



Regression determinants (Contd.)

■ Information asymmetry proxies

- *LNDISTANCE* = natural logarithm of kilometers between capital markets/cities.
- *TRADE_DEP* = trade dependence from the perspective of the fund manager's home country by taking the total trade (imports and exports) with the U.S. weighted by the country's GDP.
- *TIMESYNC* = Time zone overlap (1 = overlap, 0),
- *ENGLISH* (1 = English, 0)
- *FLOWS-TO-US* = with 4 lags. Net monthly portfolio flows (U.S. Dept of Treasury TIC data).



Regression determinants (Contd.)

■ Home-market and anchoring proxies

- *LN MUTUAL FUNDS* = natural logarithm mutual fund total net assets.
- *HMKTRET* = home market daily return (*F-D*).
- *EM_MKT_IMPACT*, *EM_COMM*, *EM_FEES* = Elkins-McSherry quarterly implicit (market impact) and explicit transaction (commission, and fees & taxes) costs in basis points (*F-D*).
- *LN MKT CAP* = natural logarithm of exchange market capitalisation (*F-D*).
- *CM_GOV* = Capital market governance (index ranging from 3 to 8, where higher values denote poor governance) (*F-D*).
- *ECONFREE* = Index of the relative economic freedom of a country (*F-D*).
- *SP_LC_LT* = Standard & Poor's local currency long-term rating between 1 and 5 (*F-D*).
- *TAX_RATE* = Corporate tax rate (*F-D*).
- *REALGDP* = Real gross nation product growth rate (*F-D*).



Interpreting regression results

■ Buys:

- Univariate tests show D-F is negative (-) (i.e. disadvantage to foreigners)
 - Positive (+) coefficient denotes *reduced* disadvantage
 - Negative (-) coefficient denotes *increased* disadvantage

■ Sells

- Univariate tests show D-F is positive (+) (i.e. disadvantage to foreigners)
 - Positive (+) coefficient denotes *increased* disadvantage
 - Negative (-) coefficient denotes *reduced* disadvantage



Regression results: full sample (Table 6)

■ Trade characteristics and returns

– For buys:

- Lagged stock returns (*CTC5*, *OTC* and *CTO*) significant across most size samples - positive feedback trading strategies decrease the disadvantage experienced by foreign investors
- As the turnover on the stock (*AVGTURN*) rises, the foreign disadvantage decreases.
- Market return negatively related to the difference in price ratios.
- Market volatility reduces foreign trader's disadvantage (<\$150,000)

– For sells

- As momentum (*CTC5*) increases foreign disadvantage decreases
- When intraday return, *OTC*, increases, foreign disadvantage rises



Regression results: full sample (Table 6) (Contd.)

■ Information asymmetry variables

- Generally we find that with increases in distance, trade dependence and net flows to U.S. the foreign disadvantage *decreases* for *buy* trades and *increases* for *sell* trades.
- Possible reasons – familiarity results in clientele effects which result in demand shifts with implications for realized asset prices (Blackburn et al.(2006)).



Regression results: full sample (Table 6) (Contd.)

■ Anchoring and home market characteristics

– For buys:

- When the home market reference variables *LN*MUTUALFUND, *HMKTRET*, *LN*MRKCAP, *MKT*CAPGDP, *SP*_LC_LT, *TAX*_RATE, and *REAL*GDP increase by one unit the foreign disadvantage decreases.
- Learning effects: traders from markets with higher total transaction costs (commissions and fees - which includes taxes) seem to reduce their disadvantage when it comes to investing in the U.S.

– For sells:

- Significant home market variables concentrated on smaller trades.
- Flight to quality with respect to governance related factors for smaller trades.



Regression results: common stocks (Table 7)

- Full sample results generally hold
- Significant coefficients concentrated on stock and market characteristics i.e. trading venue factors.



Regression results: ADRs only (Table 8)

■ For buys

- Some stock and trade characteristics help explain the disadvantage faced by foreigners – trading venue-related factors still relevant for ADRs
- Only a few information asymmetry variables are significant; e.g.:
 - Shared language (*ENGLISH*) increases foreign disadvantage for ADR purchases
 - When time zones overlap (*TIMESYNC*) increases foreign disadvantage decreases e.g., ADR buy <\$20,000.
- Greater concentration of significant coefficients in home market characteristics.
- Hint of overconfidence when foreigners buy?

■ For sells

- Significance of coefficients seemingly shifts to stock and trade characteristics as well as information asymmetry proxies at the expense of home market reference variables.
- Hint of flight to quality when selling?



Conclusions

- Foreign Institutions who trade in the U.S. on average incur Implicit Transaction Cost Disadvantage when compared to comparable U.S. Institutions Trading – Economically and Statistically in most cases
- Equally weighted buy (sell) disadvantage of approximately 3.3 (4.1) basis points.
- Trade weighted buy (sell) disadvantage of approximately 3.3 (3.0) basis
- Leaving a roundtrip foreign disadvantage of approximately 7.4 (6.3) basis points.



Conclusions

- When applying stock and trade-specific determinants based on CKS to out-of sample U.S. data, our results are comparable in statistical and sign terms.
- Additional domestic determinants *VIX* and Trade Difficulty are statistically significant for some samples.
- Country of origin variables matter
 - Information asymmetry hypothesis
 - Anchoring characteristics