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## Outline for talk

- Problem: Accumulation phase of a DC plan
- Model formulation
- Optimal investment strategy
- Qualitative characteristics
- Quantitative characteristics
- Comparison of optimal strategy with commercial strategies

Using a toy model: how much room for improvement?

## The problem

- Identify sources of risk to investor:
- investment risk
- interest-rate risk
- salary risk
- risk assessment
- guidance for plan members, advisers, regulators

How well does a DC plan match a DB benchmark:

## Replacement Ratio $=\frac{\text { DC pension }}{\text { final salary }}$

"Model" Occupational DC plan

- Contributions = fixed \% of salary
- choice of "commercial" investment strategies
- various asset classes
- static versus dynamic


## Typical default strategies

Static strategies

- Pension Fund Average
typical mixed fund ( $\sim 70 \%$ in UK/int'l equities)
- Mixed Bonds (50/50)
$50 \%$ long bonds; $50 \%$ cash
$\Rightarrow$ minimum variance of Replacement Ratio

Deterministic Lifestyle strategy


Initially MIXED fund. Then switch gradually into BONDS.

## Default "commercial" strategies:

- Static
- Deterministic lifestyle

Are these strategies the best that we can do?
By how much can they be improved?

- theoretical best
- practical best (not this seminar!)


## The model

State variables:
$Y(t)=$ Salary
$W(t)=$ Accumulated pension wealth
$r(t)=$ Risk-free interest rate (one-factor model)

## The model: Assets

$n+1$ sources of risk: $Z_{0}(t), Z_{1}(t), \ldots, Z_{N}(t)$
Cash account, $R_{0}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d R_{0}(t) & =r(t) R_{0}(t) d t \\
d r(t) & =\mu_{r}(r(t)) d t+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{r j}(r(t)) d Z_{j}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The model: Assets

Risky assets, $R_{1}(t), \ldots, R_{N}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
d R_{i}(t)=R_{i}(t)\left[\left(r(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{i j} \xi_{j}\right) d t+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{i j} d Z_{j}(t)\right] \\
C=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)=\text { volatility matrix }(N \times N) \\
\text { (non-singular) }
\end{gathered}
$$

$\xi=\left(\xi_{j}\right)=$ market prices of risk $(N \times 1)$

The model: Salary and contributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d Y(t)=Y(t)\left[\left(r(t)+\mu_{Y}(t)\right) d t\right. \\
& \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{ll} 
& \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{Y j} d Z_{j}(t) \\
& \\
& \left.+\sigma_{Y 0} d Z_{0}(t)\right]
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu_{Y}(t)$ deterministic
Plan member contributes continuously into DC pension plan at the rate $\pi Y(t)$ for constant $\pi$.

The model: Pension wealth, $W(t)$ :

$$
p(t)=\left(p_{1}(t), \ldots, p_{N}(t)\right)
$$

$=$ proportion of wealth in risky assets
$d W(t)=W(t)\left[\left(r(t)+p(t)^{\prime} C \xi\right) d t+p(t)^{\prime} C d Z(t)\right]$

$$
+\pi Y(t) d t
$$

## The model: The pension:

Retirement at a fixed date $T$.
At $T$ the cost of $\$ 1$ for life is

$$
a(r(T))=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty} p(65, u) P(T, T+u, r(T))
$$

$p(65, u)=$ survival probability from 65 to $65+u$ $P(T, \tau, r)=$ price at $T$ for $\$ 1$ at $\tau$ given $r(T)=r$

## Replacement ratio:

$$
\text { Repl. Ratio }=\frac{\operatorname{Pension}(T)}{Y(T)}=\frac{W(T) / a(r(T))}{Y(T)}
$$

Teminal utility: = function of replacement ratio

$$
u(w, y, r)=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w}{y \cdot a(r)}\right)^{\gamma}
$$

( $\Rightarrow$ type of habit formation)

## Reduction of state space:

Sufficient to model $r(t)$ and $X(t)=W(t) / Y(t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d X(t) & =\pi d t \\
+X(t)\left[\left(-\mu_{Y}(t)\right.\right. & \left.+p(t)^{\prime} C\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right)+\sigma_{Y 0}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{\prime} \sigma_{Y}\right) d t \\
& \left.-\sigma_{Y 0} d Z_{0}(t)+\left(p(t)^{\prime} C-\sigma_{Y}^{\prime}\right) d Z(t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Optimisation: Given strategy $p(t)$
Expected terminal utility is $J(t, x, r ; p)=$

$$
E\left[\left.\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{X_{p}(T)}{a(r(T))}\right)^{\gamma} \right\rvert\, X(t)=x, r(t)=r\right]
$$

$X_{p}(t)=$ path of $X(t)$ given strategy $p$.
Objective:
Maximise expected terminal utility
over $p=\{p(t): 0 \leq t \leq T\}$

$$
V(t, x, r)=\sup _{p} J(t, x, r ; p)
$$

HJB equation $\Rightarrow$ nonlinear PDE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{t} \\
& +\mu_{r}(r) V_{r} \\
& +\left(\pi-\tilde{\mu}_{Y}(t) x+\sigma_{Y}^{\prime}\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right) x\right) V_{x} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{r}(r)^{\prime} \sigma_{r}(r) V_{r r} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{Y 0}^{2} x^{2} V_{x x} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right)^{\prime}\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right) \frac{V_{x}^{2}}{V_{x x}} \\
& -\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right)^{\prime} \sigma_{r}(r) \frac{V_{x} V_{x r}}{V_{x x}} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{r}(r)^{\prime} \sigma_{r}(r) \frac{V_{x r}^{2}}{V_{x x}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Model $\Rightarrow$ many assets
Optimisation $\Rightarrow$ we require only 3 mutual funds
A Minumum risk fund to match salary risk
B Minimum risk fund to match salary $\times$ annuity risk
C Efficient, risky fund

A: Minumum risk fund to match salary risk
Mainly cash
adjusted for correlation between salaries and
other assets
Used to minimise short-term risk

B: Minimum risk fund to match salary $\times$ annuity risk
Mainly bonds
to minimise immediate annuity purchase risk
adjusted for correl. between salaries and other assets

C: Efficient, risky fund
Traditional efficient, risky portfolio with respect to a
salary numeraire

## Qualitative remarks

- Investment in Fund $C=1 /$ local RRA
- Investment in Fund $\mathrm{A} \longrightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow T$
- Conjecture:

As $T-t \nearrow$, investment in Fund $\mathrm{B} \longrightarrow 0$

Problem components:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{t} \\
+\mu_{r}(r) V_{r} \\
+\left(\pi-\tilde{\mu}_{Y}(t) x+\sigma_{Y}^{\prime}\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right) x\right) V_{x} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{r}(r)^{\prime} \sigma_{r}(r) V_{r r} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{Y 0}^{2} x^{2} V_{x x} \\
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right)^{\prime}\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right) \frac{V_{x}^{2}}{V_{x x}} \\
-\left(\xi-\sigma_{Y}\right)^{\prime} \sigma_{r}(r) \frac{V_{x} V_{x r}}{V_{x x}} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{r}(r)^{\prime} \sigma_{r}(r) \frac{V_{x r}^{2}}{V_{x x}}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Complete market: $\sigma_{Y 0}=0$

Main conclusions: optimal strategy

- Effective assets at $t$ are
$\bar{W}(t)=$ actual pension wealth, $W(t)$

$\quad+$ risk-adjusted value of future premiums, $R A V F P$

Borrow $R A V F P$ in units of mutual fund A

Main conclusions: optimal strategy

- Investment in risky fund C

$$
=\text { constant } \% \text { of } \bar{W}(t)
$$

constant \% depends upon plan member's relative risk aversion, $R R A$

- As a percentage of $\bar{W}(t)$ investment in mutual fund $B$ grows over time

Investment in Mutual Funds A, B, C:
small $t_{0}$, some wealth, $W\left(t_{0}\right)$, accumulated

Long:
fund $B$
fund C


Short in A: future premiums

Small $t_{0}$ (as before)


Short in A: future premiums

Large $t_{1}$


Short in A: future premiums

Numerical example: $r(t) \sim$ Vasicek

## Example 1:

- Relative risk aversion: $R R A=6$ (moderate)
- Duration of contract: $T=20$ years
- Contribution rate: $10 \%$ of salary

Example 1: $R R A=6, T=20$

$$
X(t)=\text { Wealth }(t) / \text { Salary }(t)
$$



Prospective Replacement Ratio


Example 1: $R R A=6, T=20$


Example 2: Very high $R R A, T=20$
Prospective Replacement Ratio



## Comparison with other strategies

Optimal strategy versus:

- Salary-hedged static strategy (S)
- Merton-static strategy (M)
- Deterministic lifestyle strategies:
- initially $100 \%$ in equities
- gradual switch over last 10 years into $100 \%$ bonds (B-10) or $100 \%$ cash (C-10)


## Tables show:

- Expected terminal utility, $V(0,0)$ (normalised): starting at time 0 with $W(0)=0$
- Cost:
- Benchmark: 10\% cont. rate with optimal strategy
- Other strategies: \% contribution rate to match optimal utility

| (c) | $R R A=6, \quad T=20$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | $\mathrm{B}-10$ | $\mathrm{C}-10$ |
| $V(0,0)$ | -100 | -134.58 | -205.42 | -141.00 | -191.47 |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $10.61 \%$ | $11.55 \%$ | $10.71 \%$ | $11.39 \%$ |


| (c) | $R R A=6, \quad T=20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |  |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | B-10 | $\mathrm{B}-5$ | $\mathrm{~A}-10$ | $\mathrm{~A}-5$ |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $10.61 \%$ | $11.55 \%$ | $10.71 \%$ | $11.42 \%$ | $11.39 \%$ | $11.88 \%$ |


| (d) | $R R A=6, \quad T=40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |  |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | B-10 | B-5 | A-10 | A-5 |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $11.52 \%$ | $12.58 \%$ | $12.86 \%$ | $14.04 \%$ | $13.67 \%$ | $14.68 \%$ |


| (a) | $R R A=1, \quad T=20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |  |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | $\mathrm{B}-10$ | $\mathrm{~B}-5$ | $\mathrm{~A}-10$ | $\mathrm{~A}-5$ |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $13.79 \%$ | $13.78 \%$ | $20.18 \%$ | $18.67 \%$ | $21.39 \%$ | $19.23 \%$ |


| (c) | $R R A=6, \quad T=20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |  |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | $\mathrm{B}-10$ | $\mathrm{~B}-5$ | $\mathrm{~A}-10$ | $\mathrm{~A}-5$ |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $10.61 \%$ | $11.55 \%$ | $10.71 \%$ | $11.42 \%$ | $11.39 \%$ | $11.88 \%$ |


| (e) | $R R A=12, \quad T=20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |  |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | $\mathrm{B}-10$ | $\mathrm{~B}-5$ | $\mathrm{~A}-10$ | $\mathrm{~A}-5$ |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $10.61 \%$ | $12.08 \%$ | $11.70 \%$ | $13.77 \%$ | $12.65 \%$ | $14.40 \%$ |


| (b) | $R R A=1, \quad T=40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |  |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | $\mathrm{B}-10$ | $\mathrm{~B}-5$ | $\mathrm{~A}-10$ | $\mathrm{~A}-5$ |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $17.37 \%$ | $17.36 \%$ | $32.21 \%$ | $29.67 \%$ | $34.33 \%$ | $30.64 \%$ |


| (d) | $R R A=6, \quad T=40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |  |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | $\mathrm{B}-10$ | $\mathrm{~B}-5$ | $\mathrm{~A}-10$ | $\mathrm{~A}-5$ |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $11.52 \%$ | $12.58 \%$ | $12.86 \%$ | $14.04 \%$ | $13.67 \%$ | $14.68 \%$ |


| (f) | $R R A=12, \quad T=40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy: | Optimal | Static |  | Deterministic lifestyle |  |  |  |
|  | stochastic | S | M | $\mathrm{B}-10$ | $\mathrm{~B}-5$ | $\mathrm{~A}-10$ | $\mathrm{~A}-5$ |
| Cost | $10.00 \%$ | $12.38 \%$ | $13.17 \%$ | $16.57 \%$ | $19.72 \%$ | $17.82 \%$ | $20.77 \%$ |

## Summary

- Commercial strategies can be costly
- Optimal strategy has some drawbacks:
- regular rebalancing $\Rightarrow$ difficult to implement??
- short selling
$\Rightarrow$ we need to find a compromise
$\Rightarrow$ future work to find a robust dynamic strategy that
takes account of plan member's risk aversion
$r(t)=$ constant, $r$
- Case 1: $\pi=0, \sigma_{Y 0}=0$.
- Case 2: $\pi=0, \sigma_{Y 0} \neq 0$.
- Case 3: $\pi>0, \sigma_{Y 0}=0$.
* Case 4: $\pi>0, \sigma_{Y 0} \neq 0$.

Cases 1, 2, 3 have analytical solutions.
Case $4 \Rightarrow$ numerical solution.



Case 3: $\pi>0, \sigma_{Y 0}=0$

Case 4: $\pi>0, \sigma_{s 0} \neq 0,1$ risky asset.
Solution by HJB equation.

- No analytical solution
$\Rightarrow$ numerical solution required
- $V(t, x)$ has a singularity at $x=0$

Result: Misery! (for a while).

Static optimisation problem:
$\Rightarrow p^{*}(t, x)=p^{*}(t, x ; V)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}}\left(\sigma_{Y 1}-\frac{V_{x}}{x V_{x x}}\left(\xi_{1}-\sigma_{Y 1}\right)\right)$
$\Rightarrow p^{*}(t, x)$ only depends upon $\sigma_{Y 0}$ through $V(t, x)$.

## Solve the non-linear PDE:

$$
V_{t}+\mu_{X}^{p^{*}} V_{x}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{X}^{p^{* 2}} V_{x x}=0
$$

subject to $V(T, x)=\frac{1}{\gamma} x^{\gamma}$
$\mu_{X}^{p^{*}}=\pi+x\left(-\mu_{s}+\sigma_{Y 0}^{2}+\sigma_{Y 1}^{2}\right)$ $+x p^{*}(t, x ; V) \sigma_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-\sigma_{Y 1}\right)$
$\sigma_{X}^{p^{* 2}}=x^{2}\left(\sigma_{Y 0}^{2}+\left\{p^{*}(t, x ; V) \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{Y 1}\right\}^{2}\right)$.

Numerical solution: Finite Difference Method
Problem (e.g. $\gamma<0$ ) as $x \rightarrow 0$ :
$V(t, x) \rightarrow \begin{cases}-\infty, & \text { if } t=T \\ l(t), & -\infty<l(t)<0, t<T\end{cases}$
$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, 0) \rightarrow-\infty \quad$ as $t \rightarrow T$
$\Rightarrow$ numerical solution: unstable near $x=0$ ??




Numerical results $\Rightarrow$ for $t<T$

$$
p^{*}(t, x) \sqrt{x} \rightarrow \phi \text { as } x \rightarrow 0
$$

Value of $\phi$ is critical!

- $\phi=\infty \Rightarrow X(t)$ might hit 0
** $\phi=0 \Rightarrow X(t)$ never hits 0
- $0<\phi<\infty \Rightarrow X(t)$ might or might not hit zero

Numerical solutions suggest (**).
(... but see Duffie et al., 1997)

## Case 4: upper bound

Introduce an extra asset, $R_{2}(t)$, to complete the market.

$$
d R_{2}(t)=R_{2}(t)\left[\left(r+\xi_{0} \sigma_{Y 0}\right) d t+\sigma_{Y 0} d Z_{0}(t)\right]
$$

$\xi_{0}=\underline{\text { arbitrary }}$ market price of risk: to be specified
More choice $\Rightarrow$ increased $E[u(W(T), Y(T))]$
$\Rightarrow$ analytical upper bounds (like Case 3 ), $V^{u}\left(t, x ; \xi_{0}\right)$.
Then $V(t, x) \leq V^{u}(t, x)=\inf _{\xi_{0} \in R} V^{u}\left(t, x ; \xi_{0}\right)$


Construction of the upper bound for $V(t, x)$


The true optimal value function $V(10, x)$ when $\gamma=-5$ and $T-t=10$ versus its upper and lower bounds.

