

**Paper 1, Section I**
**7H Statistics**

Describe the generalised likelihood ratio test and the type of statistical question for which it is useful.

Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed random variables with the Gamma( $2, \lambda$ ) distribution, having density function  $\lambda^2 x \exp(-\lambda x)$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . Similarly,  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are independent and identically distributed with the Gamma( $2, \mu$ ) distribution. It is desired to test the hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda = \mu$  against  $H_1 : \lambda \neq \mu$ . Derive the generalised likelihood ratio test and express it in terms of  $R = \sum_i X_i / \sum_i Y_i$ .

Let  $F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{(1-\alpha)}$  denote the value that a random variable having the  $F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}$  distribution exceeds with probability  $\alpha$ . Explain how to decide the outcome of a size 0.05 test when  $n = 5$  by knowing only the value of  $R$  and the value  $F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{(1-\alpha)}$ , for some  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  and  $\alpha$ , which you should specify.

[You may use the fact that the  $\chi_k^2$  distribution is equivalent to the Gamma( $k/2, 1/2$ ) distribution.]

**Paper 2, Section I**
**8H Statistics**

Let the sample  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  have likelihood function  $f(x; \theta)$ . What does it mean to say  $T(x)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ ?

Show that if a certain factorization criterion is satisfied then  $T$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

Suppose that  $T$  is sufficient for  $\theta$  and there exist two samples,  $x$  and  $y$ , for which  $T(x) \neq T(y)$  and  $f(x; \theta)/f(y; \theta)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . Let

$$T_1(z) = \begin{cases} T(z) & z \neq y \\ T(x) & z = y. \end{cases}$$

Show that  $T_1$  is also sufficient for  $\theta$ .

Explain why  $T$  is not minimally sufficient for  $\theta$ .

**Paper 4, Section II**
**19H Statistics**

From each of 3 populations,  $n$  data points are sampled and these are believed to obey

$$y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta_i(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i) + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

where  $\bar{x}_i = (1/n) \sum_j x_{ij}$ , the  $\epsilon_{ij}$  are independent and identically distributed as  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ , and  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. Let  $\bar{y}_i = (1/n) \sum_j y_{ij}$ .

- (i) Find expressions for  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\beta}_i$ , the least squares estimates of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$ .
- (ii) What are the distributions of  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\beta}_i$ ?
- (iii) Show that the residual sum of squares,  $R_1$ , is given by

$$R_1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 - \hat{\beta}_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2 \right].$$

Calculate  $R_1$  when  $n = 9$ ,  $\{\hat{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1}^3 = \{1.6, 0.6, 0.8\}$ ,  $\{\hat{\beta}_i\}_{i=1}^3 = \{2, 1, 1\}$ ,

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^9 (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 \right\}_{i=1}^3 = \{138, 82, 63\}, \quad \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^9 (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2 \right\}_{i=1}^3 = \{30, 60, 40\}.$$

(iv)  $H_0$  is the hypothesis that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ . Find an expression for the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\alpha_1$  under the assumption that  $H_0$  is true. Calculate its value for the above data.

(v) Explain (stating without proof any relevant theory) the rationale for a statistic which can be referred to an  $F$  distribution to test  $H_0$  against the alternative that it is not true. What should be the degrees of freedom of this  $F$  distribution? What would be the outcome of a size 0.05 test of  $H_0$  with the above data?

**Paper 1, Section II****19H Statistics**

State and prove the Neyman-Pearson lemma.

A sample of two independent observations,  $(x_1, x_2)$ , is taken from a distribution with density  $f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . It is desired to test  $H_0 : \theta = 1$  against  $H_1 : \theta = 2$ . Show that the best test of size  $\alpha$  can be expressed using the number  $c$  such that

$$1 - c + c \log c = \alpha.$$

Is this the uniformly most powerful test of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_1 : \theta > 1$ ?

Suppose that the prior distribution of  $\theta$  is  $P(\theta = 1) = 4\gamma/(1 + 4\gamma)$ ,  $P(\theta = 2) = 1/(1 + 4\gamma)$ , where  $1 > \gamma > 0$ . Find the test of  $H_0$  against  $H_1$  that minimizes the probability of error.

Let  $w(\theta)$  denote the power function of this test at  $\theta$  ( $\geq 1$ ). Show that

$$w(\theta) = 1 - \gamma^\theta + \gamma^\theta \log \gamma^\theta.$$

**Paper 3, Section II**  
**20H Statistics**

Suppose that  $X$  is a single observation drawn from the uniform distribution on the interval  $[\theta - 10, \theta + 10]$ , where  $\theta$  is unknown and might be any real number. Given  $\theta_0 \neq 20$  we wish to test  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_1 : \theta = 20$ . Let  $\phi(\theta_0)$  be the test which accepts  $H_0$  if and only if  $X \in A(\theta_0)$ , where

$$A(\theta_0) = \begin{cases} [\theta_0 - 8, \infty), & \theta_0 > 20 \\ (-\infty, \theta_0 + 8], & \theta_0 < 20. \end{cases}$$

Show that this test has size  $\alpha = 0.10$ .

Now consider

$$C_1(X) = \{\theta : X \in A(\theta)\},$$

$$C_2(X) = \{\theta : X - 9 \leq \theta \leq X + 9\}.$$

Prove that both  $C_1(X)$  and  $C_2(X)$  specify 90% confidence intervals for  $\theta$ . Find the confidence interval specified by  $C_1(X)$  when  $X = 0$ .

Let  $L_i(X)$  be the length of the confidence interval specified by  $C_i(X)$ . Let  $\beta(\theta_0)$  be the probability of the Type II error of  $\phi(\theta_0)$ . Show that

$$E[L_1(X) \mid \theta = 20] = E \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1_{\{\theta_0 \in C_1(X)\}} d\theta_0 \mid \theta = 20 \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(\theta_0) d\theta_0.$$

Here  $1_{\{B\}}$  is an indicator variable for event  $B$ . The expectation is over  $X$ . [Orders of integration and expectation can be interchanged.]

Use what you know about constructing best tests to explain which of the two confidence intervals has the smaller expected length when  $\theta = 20$ .