

Paper 1, Section I**7H Statistics**

Consider the experiment of tossing a coin n times. Assume that the tosses are independent and the coin is biased, with unknown probability p of heads and $1 - p$ of tails. A total of X heads is observed.

(i) What is the maximum likelihood estimator \hat{p} of p ?

Now suppose that a Bayesian statistician has the $\text{Beta}(M, N)$ prior distribution for p .

(ii) What is the posterior distribution for p ?

(iii) Assuming the loss function is $L(p, a) = (p - a)^2$, show that the statistician's point estimate for p is given by

$$\frac{M + X}{M + N + n}.$$

[The $\text{Beta}(M, N)$ distribution has density $\frac{\Gamma(M + N)}{\Gamma(M)\Gamma(N)}x^{M-1}(1 - x)^{N-1}$ for $0 < x < 1$ and mean $\frac{M}{M + N}$.]

Paper 2, Section I**8H Statistics**

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be random variables with joint density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$, where θ is an unknown parameter. The null hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ is to be tested against the alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$.

(i) Define the following terms: critical region, Type I error, Type II error, size, power.

(ii) State and prove the Neyman–Pearson lemma.

Paper 1, Section II**19H Statistics**

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with probability mass function $f(x; \theta)$, where θ is an unknown parameter.

(i) What does it mean to say that T is a sufficient statistic for θ ? State, but do not prove, the factorisation criterion for sufficiency.

(ii) State and prove the Rao–Blackwell theorem.

Now consider the case where $f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{x!}(-\log \theta)^x \theta$ for non-negative integer x and $0 < \theta < 1$.

(iii) Find a one-dimensional sufficient statistic T for θ .

(iv) Show that $\tilde{\theta} = \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1=0\}}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ .

(v) Find another unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ which is a function of the sufficient statistic T and that has smaller variance than $\tilde{\theta}$. You may use the following fact without proof: $X_1 + \dots + X_n$ has the Poisson distribution with parameter $-n \log \theta$.

Paper 3, Section II
20H Statistics

Consider the general linear model

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

where X is a known $n \times p$ matrix, β is an unknown $p \times 1$ vector of parameters, and ϵ is an $n \times 1$ vector of independent $N(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables with unknown variance σ^2 . Assume the $p \times p$ matrix $X^T X$ is invertible.

- (i) Derive the least squares estimator $\hat{\beta}$ of β .
- (ii) Derive the distribution of $\hat{\beta}$. Is $\hat{\beta}$ an unbiased estimator of β ?
- (iii) Show that $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2$ has the χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom, where k is to be determined.
- (iv) Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be an unbiased estimator of β of the form $\tilde{\beta} = CY$ for some $p \times n$ matrix C . By considering the matrix $\mathbb{E}[(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})^T]$ or otherwise, show that $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}$ are independent.

[You may use standard facts about the multivariate normal distribution as well as results from linear algebra, including the fact that $I - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$ is a projection matrix of rank $n - p$, as long as they are carefully stated.]

Paper 4, Section II
19H Statistics

Consider independent random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with the $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ distribution and Y_1, \dots, Y_n with the $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ distribution, where the means μ_X, μ_Y and variances σ_X^2, σ_Y^2 are unknown. Derive the generalised likelihood ratio test of size α of the null hypothesis $H_0 : \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$ against the alternative $H_1 : \sigma_X^2 \neq \sigma_Y^2$. Express the critical region in terms of the statistic $T = \frac{S_{XX}}{S_{XX} + S_{YY}}$ and the quantiles of a beta distribution, where

$$S_{XX} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad S_{YY} = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right)^2.$$

[You may use the following fact: if $U \sim \Gamma(a, \lambda)$ and $V \sim \Gamma(b, \lambda)$ are independent, then $\frac{U}{U+V} \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$.]