## Paper 4, Section II

### 24J Principles of Statistics

Given independent and identically distributed observations  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  with finite mean  $E(X_1) = \mu$  and variance  $Var(X_1) = \sigma^2$ , explain the notion of a *bootstrap sample*  $X_1^b, \ldots, X_n^b$ , and discuss how you can use it to construct a confidence interval  $C_n$  for  $\mu$ .

Suppose you can operate a random number generator that can simulate independent uniform random variables  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  on [0, 1]. How can you use such a random number generator to simulate a bootstrap sample?

Suppose that  $(F_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  and F are cumulative probability distribution functions defined on the real line, that  $F_n(t) \to F(t)$  as  $n \to \infty$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and that F is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - F(t)| \to 0.$$

State (without proof) the theorem about the consistency of the bootstrap of the mean, and use it to give an asymptotic justification of the confidence interval  $C_n$ . That is, prove that as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $P^{\mathbb{N}}(\mu \in C_n) \to 1 - \alpha$  where  $P^{\mathbb{N}}$  is the joint distribution of  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ 

[You may use standard facts of stochastic convergence and the Central Limit Theorem without proof.]

### Paper 3, Section II

#### 24J Principles of Statistics

Define what it means for an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  of an unknown parameter  $\theta$  to be *consistent*.

Let  $S_n$  be a sequence of random real-valued continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that, as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $S_n(\theta)$  converges to  $S(\theta)$  in probability for every  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $S : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is non-random. Suppose that for some  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$S(\theta_0 - \varepsilon) < 0 < S(\theta_0 + \varepsilon),$$

and that  $S_n$  has exactly one zero  $\hat{\theta}_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $\hat{\theta}_n \to^P \theta_0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , and deduce from this that the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) based on observations  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from a  $N(\theta, 1), \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  model is consistent.

Now consider independent observations  $\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n$  of bivariate normal random vectors

$$\mathbf{X}_{i} = (X_{1i}, X_{2i})^{T} \sim N_{2} \left[ (\mu_{i}, \mu_{i})^{T}, \sigma^{2} I_{2} \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  and  $I_2$  is the 2 × 2 identity matrix. Find the MLE  $\hat{\mu} = (\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_n)^T$ of  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)^T$  and show that the MLE of  $\sigma^2$  equals

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2, \quad s_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} [(X_{1i} - \hat{\mu}_i)^2 + (X_{2i} - \hat{\mu}_i)^2].$$

Show that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is *not* consistent for estimating  $\sigma^2$ . Explain briefly why the MLE fails in this model.

[You may use the Law of Large Numbers without proof.]

# Paper 2, Section II

## 25J Principles of Statistics

Consider a random variable X arising from the binomial distribution  $Bin(n, \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta = [0, 1]$ . Find the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$  and the Fisher information  $I(\theta)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

Now consider the following priors on  $\Theta$ :

- (i) a uniform U([0, 1]) prior on [0, 1],
- (ii) a prior with density  $\pi(\theta)$  proportional to  $\sqrt{I(\theta)}$ ,
- (iii) a Beta $(\sqrt{n}/2, \sqrt{n}/2)$  prior.

Find the means  $E[\theta|X]$  and modes  $m_{\theta}|X$  of the posterior distributions corresponding to the prior distributions (i)–(iii). Which of these posterior decision rules coincide with  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ ? Which one is minimax for quadratic risk? Justify your answers.

[You may use the following properties of the Beta(a, b) (a > 0, b > 0) distribution. Its density  $f(x; a, b), x \in [0, 1]$ , is proportional to  $x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1}$ , its mean is equal to a/(a+b), and its mode is equal to

$$\frac{\max(a-1,0)}{\max(a,1) + \max(b,1) - 2}$$

provided either a > 1 or b > 1.

You may further use the fact that a unique Bayes rule of constant risk is a unique minimax rule for that risk.]

## Paper 1, Section II

## 25J Principles of Statistics

Consider a normally distributed random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$  modelled as  $X \sim N(\theta, I_p)$ where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $I_p$  is the  $p \times p$  identity matrix, and where  $p \ge 3$ . Define the *Stein estimator*  $\hat{\theta}_{STEIN}$  of  $\theta$ .

Prove that  $\hat{\theta}_{STEIN}$  dominates the estimator  $\tilde{\theta} = X$  for the risk function induced by quadratic loss

$$\ell(a,\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (a_i - \theta_i)^2, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

Show however that the worst case risks coincide, that is, show that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p} E_{\theta} \,\ell(X,\theta) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p} E_{\theta} \,\ell(\hat{\theta}_{STEIN},\theta).$$

[You may use Stein's lemma without proof, provided it is clearly stated.]