

Paper 4, Section II**27K Principles of Statistics**

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, the pairs (X_i, Y_i) have independent bivariate normal distributions, with $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu_X$, $\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = \mu_Y$, $\text{var}(X_i) = \text{var}(Y_i) = \phi$, and $\text{corr}(X_i, Y_i) = \rho$. The means μ_X, μ_Y are known; the parameters $\phi > 0$ and $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ are unknown.

Show that the joint distribution of all the variables belongs to an exponential family, and identify the natural sufficient statistic, natural parameter, and mean-value parameter. Hence or otherwise, find the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\rho}$ of ρ .

Let $U_i := X_i + Y_i$, $V_i := X_i - Y_i$. What is the joint distribution of (U_i, V_i) ?

Show that the distribution of

$$\frac{(1 + \hat{\rho})/(1 - \hat{\rho})}{(1 + \rho)/(1 - \rho)}$$

is F_n^n . Hence describe a $(1 - \alpha)$ -level confidence interval for ρ . Briefly explain what would change if μ_X and μ_Y were also unknown.

[Recall that the distribution $F_{\nu_1}^{\nu_2}$ is that of $(W_1/\nu_1)/(W_2/\nu_2)$, where, independently for $j = 1$ and $j = 2$, W_j has the chi-squared distribution with ν_j degrees of freedom.]

Paper 3, Section II
27K Principles of Statistics

The parameter vector is $\Theta \equiv (\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3)$, with $\Theta_i > 0$, $\Theta_1 + \Theta_2 + \Theta_3 = 1$. Given $\Theta = \theta \equiv (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$, the integer random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ has a trinomial distribution, with probability mass function

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = \frac{n!}{x_1! x_2! x_3!} \theta_1^{x_1} \theta_2^{x_2} \theta_3^{x_3}, \quad \left(x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i = n \right). \quad (1)$$

Compute the score vector for the parameter $\Theta^* := (\Theta_1, \Theta_2)$, and, quoting any relevant general result, use this to determine $\mathbb{E}(X_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Considering (1) as an exponential family with mean-value parameter Θ^* , what is the corresponding natural parameter $\Phi \equiv (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$?

Compute the information matrix I for Θ^* , which has (i, j) -entry

$$I_{ij} = -\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right) \quad (i, j = 1, 2),$$

where l denotes the log-likelihood function, based on \mathbf{X} , expressed in terms of (θ_1, θ_2) .

Show that the variance of $\log(X_1/X_3)$ is asymptotic to $n^{-1}(\theta_1^{-1} + \theta_3^{-1})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. [*Hint. The information matrix I_Φ for Φ is I^{-1} and the dispersion matrix of the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\Phi}$ behaves, asymptotically (for $n \rightarrow \infty$) as I_Φ^{-1} .]*

Paper 2, Section II**28K Principles of Statistics**

Carefully defining all italicised terms, show that, if a sufficiently general method of inference respects both the *Weak Sufficiency Principle* and the *Conditionality Principle*, then it respects the *Likelihood Principle*.

The position X_t of a particle at time $t > 0$ has the Normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \phi t)$, where ϕ is the value of an unknown parameter Φ ; and the time, T_x , at which the particle first reaches position $x \neq 0$ has probability density function

$$p_x(t) = \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2\pi\phi t^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\phi t}\right) \quad (t > 0).$$

Experimenter E_1 observes X_τ , and experimenter E_2 observes T_ξ , where $\tau > 0$, $\xi \neq 0$ are fixed in advance. It turns out that $T_\xi = \tau$. What does the Likelihood Principle say about the inferences about Φ to be made by the two experimenters?

E_1 bases his inference about Φ on the distribution and observed value of X_τ^2/τ , while E_2 bases her inference on the distribution and observed value of ξ^2/T_ξ . Show that these choices respect the Likelihood Principle.

Paper 1, Section II
28K Principles of Statistics

Prove that, if T is complete sufficient for Θ , and S is a function of T , then S is the minimum variance unbiased estimator of $\mathbb{E}(S | \Theta)$.

When the parameter Θ takes a value $\theta > 0$, observables (X_1, \dots, X_n) arise independently from the exponential distribution $\mathcal{E}(\theta)$, having probability density function

$$p(x | \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x} \quad (x > 0).$$

Show that the family of distributions

$$\Theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > 0), \tag{1}$$

with probability density function

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\theta} \quad (\theta > 0),$$

is a conjugate family for Bayesian inference about Θ (where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the Gamma function).

Show that the expectation of $\Lambda := \log \Theta$, under prior distribution (1), is $\psi(\alpha) - \log \beta$, where $\psi(\alpha) := (d/d\alpha) \log \Gamma(\alpha)$. What is the prior variance of Λ ? Deduce the posterior expectation and variance of Λ , given (X_1, \dots, X_n) .

Let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ denote the limiting form of the posterior expectation of Λ as $\alpha, \beta \downarrow 0$. Show that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is the minimum variance unbiased estimator of Λ . What is its variance?