

### 3. INTEGRATION

**3.1. Definition of the integral and basic properties.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a measure space. We shall define, where possible, for a measurable function  $f : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , the *integral* of  $f$ , to be denoted

$$\mu(f) = \int_E f d\mu = \int_E f(x)\mu(dx).$$

For a random variable  $X$  on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , the integral is usually called instead the *expectation* of  $X$  and written  $\mathbb{E}(X)$ .

A *simple* function is one of the form

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k 1_{A_k}$$

where  $0 \leq a_k < \infty$  and  $A_k \in \mathcal{E}$  for all  $k$ , and where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For simple functions  $f$ , we define

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \mu(A_k),$$

where we adopt the convention  $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ . Although the representation of  $f$  is not unique, it is straightforward to check that  $\mu(f)$  is well defined and, for simple functions  $f, g$  and constants  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ , we have

- (a)  $\mu(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \mu(f) + \beta \mu(g)$ ,
- (b)  $f \leq g$  implies  $\mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$ ,
- (c)  $f = 0$  a.e. if and only if  $\mu(f) = 0$ .

In particular, for simple functions  $f$ , we have

$$\mu(f) = \sup\{\mu(g) : g \text{ simple, } g \leq f\}.$$

We define the integral  $\mu(f)$  of a non-negative measurable function  $f$  by

$$\mu(f) = \sup\{\mu(g) : g \text{ simple, } g \leq f\}.$$

We have seen that this is consistent with our definition for simple functions. Note that, for all non-negative measurable functions  $f, g$  with  $f \leq g$ , we have  $\mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$ . For any measurable function  $f$ , set  $f^+ = f \vee 0$  and  $f^- = (-f) \vee 0$ . Then  $f = f^+ - f^-$  and  $|f| = f^+ + f^-$ . If  $\mu(|f|) < \infty$ , then we say that  $f$  is *integrable* and define

$$\mu(f) = \mu(f^+) - \mu(f^-).$$

Note that  $|\mu(f)| \leq \mu(|f|)$  for all integrable functions  $f$ . We sometimes define the integral  $\mu(f)$  by the same formula, even when  $f$  is not integrable, but when either  $\mu(f^-)$  or  $\mu(f^+)$  is finite. In such cases the integral take the value  $\infty$  or  $-\infty$ .

Here is the key result for the theory of integration. For  $x \in [0, \infty]$  and a sequence  $(x_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  in  $[0, \infty]$ , we write  $x_n \uparrow x$  to mean that  $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For a non-negative function  $f$  on  $E$  and a sequence of such functions  $(f_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ , we write  $f_n \uparrow f$  to mean that  $f_n(x) \uparrow f(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ .

**Theorem 3.1.1** (Monotone convergence). *Let  $f$  be a non-negative measurable function and let  $(f_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence of such functions. Suppose that  $f_n \uparrow f$ . Then  $\mu(f_n) \uparrow \mu(f)$ .*

*Proof. Case 1:  $f_n = 1_{A_n}, f = 1_A$ .*

The result is a simple consequence of countable additivity.

*Case 2:  $f_n$  simple,  $f = 1_A$ .*

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set  $A_n = \{f_n > 1 - \varepsilon\}$ . Then  $A_n \uparrow A$  and

$$(1 - \varepsilon)1_{A_n} \leq f_n \leq 1_A$$

so

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\mu(A_n) \leq \mu(f_n) \leq \mu(A).$$

But  $\mu(A_n) \uparrow \mu(A)$  by Case 1 and  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, so the result follows.

*Case 3:  $f_n$  simple,  $f$  simple.*

We can write  $f$  in the form

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k 1_{A_k}$$

with  $a_k > 0$  for all  $k$  and the sets  $A_k$  disjoint. Then  $f_n \uparrow f$  implies

$$a_k^{-1} 1_{A_k} f_n \uparrow 1_{A_k}$$

so, by Case 2,

$$\mu(f_n) = \sum_k \mu(1_{A_k} f_n) \uparrow \sum_k a_k \mu(A_k) = \mu(f).$$

*Case 4:  $f_n$  simple,  $f \geq 0$  measurable.*

Let  $g$  be simple with  $g \leq f$ . Then  $f_n \uparrow f$  implies  $f_n \wedge g \uparrow g$  so, by Case 3,

$$\mu(f_n) \geq \mu(f_n \wedge g) \uparrow \mu(g).$$

Since  $g$  was arbitrary, the result follows.

*Case 5:  $f_n \geq 0$  measurable,  $f \geq 0$  measurable.*

Set  $g_n = (2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n f_n \rfloor) \wedge n$  then  $g_n$  is simple and  $g_n \leq f_n \leq f$ , so

$$\mu(g_n) \leq \mu(f_n) \leq \mu(f).$$

But  $f_n \uparrow f$  forces  $g_n \uparrow f$ , so  $\mu(g_n) \uparrow \mu(f)$ , by Case 4, and so  $\mu(f_n) \uparrow \mu(f)$ . □