

# ADVANCED PROBABILITY SOLUTIONS FOR EXAMPLE SHEET 2

BATI SENGUL

**1. a)** We need to prove that we have  $\mathbb{E}[h(V)\mathbf{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[g(U)\mathbf{1}_A]$ , for each  $A \in \sigma(U)$ ; any such event is by definition of the form  $\mathbf{1}_B(U)$ , for some measurable subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[h(V)\mathbf{1}_B(U)] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{U,V}(u,v)h(v)\mathbf{1}_B(u) dudv = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_B(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{U,V}(u,v)h(v) dvdu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_B(u)g(u)f_U(u) du = \mathbb{E}[g(U)\mathbf{1}_B(u)]. \end{aligned}$$

**b)** Consider

$$X := V - \frac{\text{Cov}(U, V)}{\text{Var}(U)} U$$

then  $X$  is a centred Gaussian random variable, moreover

$$\mathbb{E}[XU] = \mathbb{E}[UV] - \frac{\text{Cov}(U, V)}{\text{Var}(U)} \mathbb{E}[U^2] = \mathbb{E}[UV] - \text{Cov}(U, V) = 0$$

hence  $X$  is independent of  $U$ , so  $\mathbb{E}[X|\sigma(U)] = 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[V|\sigma(U)] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\text{Cov}(U, V)}{\text{Var}(U)} U + X \mid \sigma(U)\right] \\ &= \frac{\text{Cov}(U, V)}{\text{Var}(U)} U. \end{aligned}$$

**c)** Let us prove more generally that any  $\sigma(U)$ -measurable almost-surely finite random variable  $X$  is of the form  $f(U)$  for some measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Suppose first that  $X$  takes only finitely many values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . As each set  $A_i = X^{-1}(\{x_i\})$  belongs to  $\sigma(U)$ , it is of the form  $U^{-1}(B_i)$  for some measurable  $B_i \subset \mathbb{R}$ ; the  $B_i$ 's are disjoint. Set  $f(x) = x_i$  if  $x \in B_i$  for some  $i$ , and  $f(x) = 0$  elsewhere. We check directly that  $f(U) = X$ .

For  $X \geq 0$ , we define a  $\sigma(U)$ -measurable random variable setting

$$X_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n2^n} \frac{j}{2^n} \mathbf{1}_{X \in (j2^{-n}, (j+1)2^{-n}]}$$

As it takes only finitely many values, it is of the form  $f_n(U)$ . Note that  $X_n \uparrow X$  almost-surely. Set  $\bar{f} = \overline{\lim} f_n$  and  $f = \bar{f} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{f} < \infty}$  and check that  $f(U) = X$  as  $X$  is almost-surely finite.

---

These notes are intended for use by students of the Mathematical Tripos at the University of Cambridge. Copyright remains with the author. Please send corrections to [i.baillleul@statslab.cam.ac.uk](mailto:i.baillleul@statslab.cam.ac.uk).

2. The trivial case  $k = 1$  is obvious. So suppose that the statement holds for  $k$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(T > kN) \leq (1 - \epsilon)^k.$$

Then by using  $\mathbb{P}(T > n + N | \mathcal{F}_n) \leq 1 - \epsilon$  and the fact  $\{T > (k + 1)N\} \subset \{T > kN\}$  we have that<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{T > (k+1)N}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{T > (k+1)N} | \mathcal{F}_{kN}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{T > (k+1)N} \mathbf{1}_{T > kN} | \mathcal{F}_{kN}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{T > kN} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{T > (k+1)N} | \mathcal{F}_{kN}]] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{T > kN} (1 - \epsilon)] \leq (1 - \epsilon)^k (1 - \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

3. a) Work with  $\Omega = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ , the coordinate process and its filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , and set  $\mathcal{G}_t = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  for  $t \leq 1$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t-1}$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Look at the hitting time of some level.

b) For any  $b \leq a$  we have by the continuity of  $\omega$

$$\{\gamma_a \leq b\} = \{\omega \in \Omega; \omega_t > 0 \text{ for all } t \in (b, a]\} = \bigcap_{t \in (b, a] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \{\omega \in \Omega; \omega_t > 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_a.$$

Next we show that  $\{\gamma_a < t\} \notin \mathcal{F}_t$  for  $t < a$ . Intuitively this fails ultimately because at time  $t < a$  we cannot deduce if  $\gamma_a$  has happened or not, given the path up to time  $t$ . More rigorously

$$\{\gamma_a < t\} = \{\omega_s \neq 0 \forall s \in [t, a]\} = \{\omega_s \neq 0 \forall s \in [t, a] \cap \mathbb{Q}\} = \bigcap_{s \in [t, a] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \{\omega_s \neq 0\}$$

where we have used the continuity in the second equality. Now the last part is not in  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and hence  $\{\gamma_a < t\} \notin \mathcal{F}_t$ .

4. b) Obviously we have that  $\mathcal{F}_{S \wedge T} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{F}_S, \mathcal{F}_T)$ . For the converse notice first that  $\sigma(F_S, F_T)$  is generated by events in  $\mathcal{F}_S$  and  $\mathcal{F}_T$ , hence by the monotone class theorem, it suffices to check that  $\mathcal{F}_S$  and  $\mathcal{F}_T$  are included in  $\mathcal{F}_{S \wedge T}$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$ , then it suffices to show that  $A \cap \{S \wedge T > t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for each  $t \geq 0$ . Notice that

$$A \cap \{S \wedge T > t\} = A \cap \{S > t\} \cap \{T > t\}.$$

Now  $B := A \cap \{S > t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , by definition of  $\mathcal{F}_S$ , and as  $T$  is a stopping time  $B \cap \{T > t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$  and hence  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{S \wedge T}$ . Similarly for  $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ .

5. Suppose that  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in  $L^1$ . Then by Markov's inequality  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in probability:

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|] < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq N$ . The sequence  $X, X_1, \dots, X_N$  is finite and hence uniformly integrable, so there exists a  $K > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n| > K}] < \epsilon$  for all  $n \leq N$  and  $\mathbb{E}[|X| \mathbf{1}_{|X| > K}] < \epsilon$ . For  $n > N$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_n| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n| > K}] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n| > K}] + \mathbb{E}[|X| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n| > K}] < \epsilon + \mathbb{E}[|X| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n| > K}].$$

Then the second term is small if  $\mathbb{P}(|X_n| > K)$  is small, uniformly in  $n$ . But then by Markov's inequality and the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|] + \epsilon$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n| > K) \leq K^{-1} \mathbb{E}[|X_n|] \leq K^{-1} (\mathbb{E}[|X|] + \epsilon)$$

which can be made small by choosing  $K$  large.

<sup>1</sup>Here I will use the fact that  $\mathbf{1}_{T > (k+1)N} \mathbf{1}_{T > kN} = \mathbf{1}_{T > (k+1)N}$ .

For the converse suppose that  $X_n$  is UI and  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in probability. Consider the following approximation

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n - X| \leq K}] + \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n - X| > K}] \leq K + \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n - X| > K}].$$

Now by the uniform integrability the term on the RHS can be made small given that  $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > K)$  is small. Pick  $K = \epsilon$  small and let  $n > N$  be sufficiently large such that  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n - X| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n - X| > K}] < \epsilon$ .

**6.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{P} \ll \mathbb{Q}$  then by the Radon-Nikodym theorem we have that  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[X \mathbf{1}_A]$  where  $X \in L^1(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $0 \leq X \leq 1$ , so in particular  $\mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{Q}(A)$ .

Conversely let  $\mathbb{Q}(A) = 0$ , then for each epsilon  $\mathbb{P}(A) < \epsilon$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ .

**7.** Suppose first that  $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$ , then by the Radon-Nikodym theorem  $\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X \mathbf{1}_A]$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $X \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$  and  $0 \leq X \leq 1$ . In particular we have that  $M_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$  and hence  $M_n$  is uniformly integrable.

Suppose on the other hand that  $M_n$  is uniformly integrable (with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ ), then  $M_n$  converges in  $L^1(\mathbb{P})$  and a.s. to some  $M_{\infty}$ , so that  $M_n = \mathbb{E}[M_{\infty} | \mathcal{F}_n]$ .

**8.** The idea is to prove that  $\mathcal{T}$  is independent of itself. To that end define  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_k : k \leq n)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is independent of  $\sigma(X_k : k > n)$  (as the random variables are independent), and in particular independent from  $\mathcal{T}$ . This holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $\mathcal{T}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} := \bigvee_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_n$ . However  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  and hence  $\mathcal{T}$  is independent of itself. Now for any  $A \in \mathcal{T}$ , we have that  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap A) = \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(A)$  so  $\mathbb{P}(A)$  is either 0 or 1.

The trivial counterexample to when  $X_i$  are not independent is by considering  $X_i = X$  for some non-trivial random variable, then  $\mathcal{T} = \sigma(X)$  which is non-trivial.

**9. a)** Let  $X \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  be bounded, then  $X_n := \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$  makes sense and is bounded by the same bound. Then by the martingale convergence  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in  $L^1$  and hence the result.

**b)** By part a), the bounded elements of  $L^1(\mathcal{F}_{\infty})$  are limit points of  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_n] \in \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_k$ . Now if  $X \in L^1(\mathcal{F}_{\infty})$  is not bounded, then it can be approximated by bounded functions.

**c)** Kolmogorov's 0-1 Law: We have that for any  $A \in \mathcal{T}$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A | \mathcal{F}_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_A$$

so as before  $A$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , hence  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{P}(A)$ .

**d)** In the case  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is finite, then

$$\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n] = \sum \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{A_n}]}{\mathbb{P}(A_n)} \mathbf{1}_{A_n}$$

which is computable. So then the limits also may be computed explicitly.

**(i)** Suppose that the measure space is separable. First note that  $L^1(\mathcal{F}_n)$  has countably many simple functions with rational coefficients and they are dense. Now  $\bigcup L^1(\mathcal{F}_n)$  has a countable dense subset. By using double approximation, this set is also dense in  $L^1(\mathcal{F}_{\infty})$ .

**10. a)** Notice that  $S_n$  is a submartingale and  $S_n^{T_{ab}}$  is bounded and hence by the optional stopping theorem

$$\mathbb{E}[S_0] = 0 \leq \mathbb{E}[S_{T_{ab}}] = a \mathbb{P}(T_a \leq T_b) + b \mathbb{P}(T_b < T_a).$$

The equation above gives a lower bound

$$\mathbb{P}(T_b < T_a) \geq \frac{-a}{b-a}.$$

Now as  $a \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $T_a \rightarrow \infty$  and the right hand side converges to 1, which gives that  $\mathbb{P}(T_b < \infty) \geq 1$ . From this it follows that both  $T_b$  and  $T_{ab}$  are finite.

(i) Direct computation shows that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^{S_n} - \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^{S_{n-1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^{S_{n-1}} \left( \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^{X_n} - 1 \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] = \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^{S_{n-1}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^{X_n} - 1 \right).$$

It suffices to check that  $\mathbb{E}[(q/p)^{X_n}] = 1$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[(q/p)^{X_n}] = p \frac{q}{p} + q \frac{p}{q} = p + q = 1.$$

The martingale  $X_n := (q/p)^{S_n}$  is bounded by 1, hence we may apply the optional stopping theorem to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[X_0] = 1 = \mathbb{E}[X_{T_{ab}}] = (q/p)^a \mathbb{P}(T_a < T_b) + (q/p)^b \mathbb{P}(T_b < T_a).$$

Rearranging the above gives that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{T_{ab}} = a) = \mathbb{P}(T_a < T_b) = \frac{1 - (q/p)^b}{(q/p)^a - (q/p)^b}.$$

(ii) Let  $X_n := S_n - n(p - q)$ , then  $X_n$  is a martingale. Notice that  $X^{T_{ab}}$  is bounded by  $-a \vee b$ , so by the optional stopping theorem

$$\mathbb{E}[X_0] = 0 = \mathbb{E}[X_{T_{ab}}] = \mathbb{E}[S_{T_{ab}}] - (p - q)\mathbb{E}[T_{ab}].$$

**11. a)** Let  $X_i^n$  be i.i.d Bernoulli  $\{0, 2\}$  with equal probability and  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_i^k : i \geq 1, k \leq n)$  then  $Z_n := \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{n-1}} X_i^n$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = Z_{n-1} \mathbb{E}[X_1^n] = Z_{n-1}$  so  $Z_n$  is a martingale. The martingale  $Z_n$  is positive so by the martingale convergence theorem it converges to some  $Z_\infty$  a.s. Now we show that the limit must be 0. For any  $k > 0$  we have that  $\mathbb{P}(Z_{n+1} = k | Z_n = k) = 1/2$  and so

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n = k; \dots; Z_{n+N} = k) \leq 2^{-N}.$$

But now  $\mathbb{P}(\lim Z_n = k) \leq 2^{-N}$  for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) The convergence again follows from non-negative martingale convergence. First consider the case  $\mu < 1$ . Then we have that  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \mu^n$  and so

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n > 0) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(Z_n = k) \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} k \mathbb{P}(Z_n = k) = \mu^n.$$

By taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we see that  $Z_n = 0$  a.s.

Now for the case  $\mu = 1$  we ignore the case  $\mathbb{P}(Z_1 = 1) = 1$  otherwise the result does not hold, nor do we have any interesting activities. So then  $p := \mathbb{P}(Z_1 = 0) > 0$  as the expectation is 1. Following the idea as above, let  $k > 0$ , then we have instead

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n = k; \dots; Z_{n+N} = k) \leq (1 - p)^N$$

and hence  $\mathbb{P}(\lim Z_n = k)$  which is the union of events of the form  $\{\forall n \geq N, Z_n = k\}$  is zero.

(iii) Let  $p = \mathbb{P}(M_\infty = 0)$ . There are a possible number of cases to consider. If  $p = 0$  or 1, then the result follows easily. If  $0 < p < 1$  then on the set  $\{M_\infty = 0\}$ ,  $Z_n \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $p^{Z_n} \rightarrow 1$ . On the set  $\{M_\infty > 0\}$  we have that as  $\mu > 1$ ,  $Z_n \rightarrow \infty$ , now as  $p < 1$  this implies that  $p^{Z_n} \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $p^{Z_n} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{M_\infty=0}$ .  $Z_n$  roughly behaves like  $M_\infty \mu^n$  asymptotically.

c) First by the tower law

$$\text{Var}[Z_n] = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}[Z_n^2|Z_{n-1}]] = \mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1}\sigma^2] = \mu^{n-1}\sigma^2$$

so then  $\text{Var}(M_n) = \mu^{-n-1}\sigma^2$  which shows the bound in  $L^2$ . An application of Cauchy-Schwartz gives that  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n \mathbf{1}_{Z_n>0}]^2 \leq \mathbb{P}(Z_n > 0)\mathbb{E}[Z_n^2]$  so that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n > 0) \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z_n]^2}{\mathbb{E}[Z_n^2]} = \frac{\mu^{2n}}{\mu^{n-1}\sigma^2 + \mu^{2n}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sigma^2} > 0.$$

Thus the probability of survival is strictly positive.

**12.** Take  $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  to be i.i.d. Bernoulli  $\{0, 2\}$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 0) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = 2) = 1/2$ . Consider  $M_n := \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ , then we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n - M_{n-1}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}[(X_n - 1)M_{n-1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = (\mathbb{E}[X_n] - 1)M_{n-1} = 0$$

so  $M_n$  is a martingale. Next notice that  $\mathbb{E}[M_n] = 1$  by the independence of the  $X_i$ , so that  $M_n$  cannot converge in  $L^1$  to 0. On the other hand observe that

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n \neq 0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \neq 0) = \frac{1}{2^n}$$

and hence by Borel-Cantelli  $M_n \rightarrow 0$  a.s.

**13.** First as  $M$  is bounded in  $L^1$ , it converges in  $L^1$  to  $M_\infty$ . On the event  $\{T = \infty\}$ ,  $M_T = M_\infty \in L^1$ , and on the event  $\{T < \infty\}$ , by dominated convergence  $\mathbb{E}[|M_T|] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|M_{T \wedge t}|]$  and as  $|M|$  is a submartingale  $\mathbb{E}[|M_{T \wedge t}|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|M_t|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|M_\infty|]$ .

For the counterexample take  $M_t = B_t$  a standard Brownian motion and  $T := \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t = 1\}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[B_T] = 1 \neq 0 = \mathbb{E}[B_0]$ .

**14.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma([a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{D}_n)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_n$  increases to  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  which is the Borel sigma-algebra. With this set up  $M_n$  is nothing but the projection of  $f'$  on to  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , i.e.  $M_n = \mathbb{E}[f'|\mathcal{F}_n]$ . Indeed for any  $[a, b]$  being a basic set in  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , we have that  $\int_a^b f'_n(x)dx = \int_a^b f'(x)dx$ . So now by Lévy's upward theorem  $M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f'|\mathcal{F}_\infty] = f'$  a.s and in  $L^1$  as  $f'$  is continuous and hence Borel measurable.

**15.** Let  $e_n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H$ , we wish to show that  $\sum_{k=1}^n h_k G_k \rightarrow X_h$  in  $L^2$  and a.s., where  $G_k$  are i.i.d. normal and  $h = \sum h_n e_n$ . We have seen before that  $X_h \in L^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ , then consider the martingale  $M_n := \mathbb{E}[X_h|\mathcal{F}_n] = \sum_{k=1}^n h_k G_k$ . Now by theorem 72, the convergence is a.s. as well.

**16.** The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  is generated by the coordinate process, with elementary events  $\{X_{t_1} \in B_1, \dots, X_{t_n} \in B_n\}$ , for  $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq 1$  and  $B_i$  measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is also generated by the events of the form  $A = \{X_{t_1} - X_0 \in C_1, X_{t_2} - X_{t_1} \in C_2, \dots, X_{t_n} - X_{t_{n-1}} \in C_n\}$ , for  $C_i$  measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Can you prove it? To prove that  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$  and find its Radon-Nikodym derivative, it suffices then to compare  $\mathbb{P}^1(A)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(A)$ . Using the independence of the increments and their Gaussian nature, you can easily see that  $\mathbb{P}^1(A) =$

$\mathbb{E}[e^{-aX_{t_n} - \frac{a^2 t_n^2}{2}} \mathbf{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-aX_1 - \frac{a^2}{2}} \mathbf{1}_A]$ , since the process  $(e^{-aX_t - \frac{a^2 t^2}{2}})_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  is a martingale. It follows that  $\frac{d\mathbb{P}^1}{d\mathbb{P}} = e^{-aX_1 - \frac{a^2}{2}}$ .

**17.** This is pretty much the same argument as Corollary 79. Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the uniform measure on  $\mathfrak{G}_n$ ,  $X$  be the coordinate map and  $\mathcal{F}_k := \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ . Then we are done if we can estimate  $|\mathbb{E}[f|\mathcal{F}_{k+1}] - \mathbb{E}[f|\mathcal{F}_k]|$ . Notice that  $\mathbb{E}[f|\mathcal{F}_k]$  is the average of  $f$  on  $\{\sigma : \sigma_i = x_i, i \leq k\}$  so that moving between the two averages, the function  $f$  could then at most differ by one change of coordinate, and hence  $|\mathbb{E}[f|\mathcal{F}_{k+1}] - \mathbb{E}[f|\mathcal{F}_k]| \leq 1$  as  $f$  is a contraction. Hence by the Theorem 78, the result follows.

**18.** The idea is to construct a set which can be determined by  $f(t)$  for any  $t > 0$ . So take  $\{f : \inf\{t \geq 0 : f(t) \neq f(0)\} = 0\}$ . Notice that

$$\{f : \inf\{t \geq 0 : f(t) \neq f(0)\} = 0\} = \bigcap_{t>0} \{f : f(t) \neq f(0)\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$$

for any  $t > 0$ . However this set cannot be in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  as this cannot be determined by sets of the form  $\{f : f(0) \in A\}$ .

**19.a)** Take  $A \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_n, \dots)$  and consider  $X := \mathbf{1}_A - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A|\mathcal{G}]$ . Now as  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = 0$ ,  $X$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ . By definition  $X \in \sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_n, \dots)$  for each  $n \geq 1$  and hence  $X \in \sigma(\mathcal{G}_n, \dots)$ , therefore  $X \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma(\mathcal{G}_n, \dots)$ .<sup>2</sup>

Then Kolmogorov's 0-1 law gives that  $X$  is constant, but  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ , so  $X = 0$  a.s. In other words  $\mathbf{1}_A = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A|\mathcal{G}]$ , i.e. there exists a set  $B \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathbf{1}_A = \mathbf{1}_B$  a.s.

**b)** By the independence of the increments  $\mathcal{T}_n := \sigma(B_{t+1/n} - B_{t+\frac{1}{n+1}})$  are independent from each other and from  $\mathcal{G}_t$ . Then as  $\mathcal{G}_{t+} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma(\mathcal{G}_t, \mathcal{T}_n, \dots)$  from the previous part we have that  $\mathcal{G}_t$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{t+}$  coincide up to null events. The result now follows as they both contain all the null events.

---

<sup>2</sup>This is true as the  $L^2$  is the sum of orthogonal components.