

Weak Convergence Using Higher-Order Cumulants

Geoffrey Grimmett¹

Received July 22, 1991

Denote by $c_j(F)$ the j th cumulant (or 'semi-invariant') of the distribution function F . We say that F is 'specified by its higher-order cumulants' if it is the unique distribution function G having the following property: there exists a positive integer J such that $c_j(G) = c_j(F)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $j \geq J$. Let $(F_n; n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of distribution functions, and suppose that there exists J such that $c_j(F_n) \rightarrow c_j(F)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $j = 1, 2$ and $j \geq J$. It is proved that $F_n \Rightarrow F$ so long as F is specified by its higher-order cumulants. It is an open problem to characterize the family of distributions which are specified by their higher-order cumulants.

KEY WORDS: Weak convergence; cumulants of a distribution function.

1. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let $m_j(F)$ and $c_j(F)$ denote the j th moment and the j th cumulant (or 'semi-invariant') of the distribution function F . It is well known (see Feller,⁽⁶⁾ p. 269) that if $m_j(F_n) \rightarrow m_j(F)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all j , and in addition F is the unique distribution with moments $(m_j(F); j \geq 1)$, then it is necessarily the case that $F_n \Rightarrow F$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. When applying this in practice, it is often easier to work with cumulants rather than with moments. Since knowledge of the moments of a distribution is interchangeable with knowledge of its cumulants, this may be expressed as follows. If $c_j(F_n) \rightarrow c_j(F)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all j , and in addition F is specified by its cumulants, then $F_n \Rightarrow F$. This formulation is of particular value when proving asymptotic normality, since the cumulants of the normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, having mean μ and variance σ^2 , are

$$c_j(\mu, \sigma^2) = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \sigma^2 & \text{if } j = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

¹ School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TW, England.

Asymptotic normality is therefore established once it has been shown that

- (a) $c_1(F_n)$ and $c_2(F_n)$ converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and
- (b) $c_j(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $j \geq 3$.

This approach has been found to be convenient in various cases (including Malyshev,⁽¹¹⁾ Cox and Grimmett,^(4,5) Janson⁽⁸⁾).

It is a famous theorem of Marcinkiewicz⁽¹²⁾ that, if there exists a positive integer J such that $c_j(F) = 0$ for $j \geq J$, then F is a normal distribution. That is to say, only the normal distributions have the property that all their higher-order cumulants equal 0. Janson⁽⁸⁾ has made use of Marcinkiewicz's theorem to show the asymptotic normality of the sequence $(F_n; n \geq 1)$ of distribution functions whenever they satisfy the following:

- (a) $c_1(F_n)$ and $c_2(F_n)$ converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and
- (b) there exists an integer J such that $c_j(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $j \geq J$.

It is our purpose in this note to extend Janson's theorem to general limit distributions. We prove that $F_n \Rightarrow F$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever

- (c) $c_j(F_n) \rightarrow c_j(F)$ for $j = 1, 2$, and
- (d) there exists an integer J such that $c_j(F_n) \rightarrow c_j(F)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $j \geq J$,

subject to the extra assumption on F that it is specified by its higher-order cumulants in a manner to be described soon. It is an open problem to decide exactly which distributions are indeed specified by their higher-order cumulants, and we present a brief discussion of this point at the end of this section.

In this paper, we deal only with distributions G all of whose moments

$$m_j(G) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^j dG(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are finite (i.e., the corresponding Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals are absolutely convergent). The characteristic function $\phi_G(t)$ may be expressed as

$$\phi_G(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^j}{j!} m_j(G)$$

and the cumulant generating function $\kappa_G(t) = \log \phi_G(t)$ has an expansion as

$$\kappa_G(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^j}{j!} c_j(G)$$

It is easily seen that $c_1(G) = m_1(G)$, $c_2(G) = m_2(G) - m_1(G)^2$ (i.e., the variance of G), and furthermore $c_j(G)$ is a polynomial in the first j moments of G (and *vice versa*).

We say that a distribution function F is ‘specified by its moments’ if it is the unique distribution having moments $(m_j(F); j \geq 1)$. Clearly F is specified by its moments if and only if it is specified (similarly) by its cumulants.

To what extent is a distribution function specified by knowledge of all but a finite number of its cumulants? Note that $\phi_{F * G}(t) = \phi_F(t)\phi_G(t)$, and therefore

$$\kappa_{F * G}(t) = \kappa_F(t) + \kappa_G(t);$$

here, $F * G$ denotes the Lebesgue-Stieltjes convolution of F and G . If G is $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $\kappa_G(t) = i\mu t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2$, implying that $c_j(F * G) = c_j(F)$ for $j \geq 3$. Therefore, cumulants of order three or more are unchanged by convolution with a normal distribution; only the first two cumulants are altered thereby. With this example in mind, we say that a distribution function F is ‘specified by its higher-order cumulants’ if F is the unique distribution function G satisfying the following: there exists a positive integer J such that $c_j(G) = c_j(F)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and for $j \geq J$.

Our principal purpose is to prove the following theorem. We write $F_n \Rightarrow F$ if the sequence $(F_n; n \geq 1)$ of distribution functions converges weakly to the distribution function F . See Billingsley⁽²⁾ or Shiryayev⁽¹³⁾ for accounts of weak convergence.

Theorem 1. Let $(F_n; n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of distribution functions, and let F be a distribution function which is specified by its higher-order cumulants. If there exists a positive integer J such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$c_j(F_n) \rightarrow c_j(F), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad j \geq J$$

then $F_n \Rightarrow F$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the special case when F is a normal distribution, this was proved by Janson.⁽⁸⁾ The following is an immediate corollary, of which the proof is exactly as in Janson.⁽⁸⁾

Theorem 2. Let F be a distribution function which is specified by its higher-order cumulants. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $J \geq 3$. There exist $\delta > 0$ and $M < \infty$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G(x) - F(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for any distribution function G satisfying $|c_j(G) - c_j(F)| < \delta$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $J \leq j \leq M$.

Let \mathcal{H} be the family of distribution functions which are specified by their higher-order cumulants. It is a consequence of Marcinkiewicz's theorem that \mathcal{H} contains the normal distributions. Lukacs⁽⁹⁾ has proved that \mathcal{H} contains the distribution of the difference of two Poisson-distributed random variables, and this conclusion has been generalized by Christensen.⁽³⁾ See Lukacs⁽¹⁰⁾ for a discussion of certain extensions of Marcinkiewicz's theorem.

In the negative direction, Gol'dberg⁽⁷⁾ has exhibited a distribution which does not lie in \mathcal{H} . In answering a question of Linnik, he found a distribution function L with all moments finite, and with the following property: for any even polynomial p with real coefficients and satisfying $p(0) = 0$, there exists a positive ε and a distribution function H having characteristic function $\phi_H(t) = \phi_L(t) e^{p(\varepsilon t)}$. In particular, $c_j(H) = c_j(L)$ for all j such that the coefficient of t^j in $p(t)$ is 0. The function L has density $\lambda(x) = Af(x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where A is a constant and f is the entire function

$$f(z) = \frac{\cosh(2\pi) - \cos(2\pi z)}{\psi(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

with

$$\psi(z) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \{(1 - z/16^n)^2 + 16^{-2n}\}$$

The following property of L is striking.

Proposition 3. The distribution function L possesses finite moments of all orders, but is not specified by its moments.

In the light of this proposition, it is natural to ask whether the members of \mathcal{H} may be characterized as those distributions which are specified by their moments. In the absence of an answer to this, one may ask the possibly easier question of determining whether \mathcal{H} contains all distributions F with the property that the moment generating function

$$\mu_F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} dF(x)$$

exists in a neighbourhood of the origin.

2. PROOFS

We prove Theorem 1 and Proposition 3, the proof of Theorem 2 being exactly as in Janson.⁽⁸⁾

Proof (Theorem 1). Let $(F_n; n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of distribution functions, and let F be a distribution function lying in \mathcal{H} . Suppose that there exists $J (\geq 3)$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$c_j(F_n) \rightarrow c_j(F), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad j \geq J$$

We may suppose that $\sigma^2 = c_2(F)$ satisfies $\sigma^2 > 0$, since otherwise F is the distribution function of a constant random variable, and the result is obvious.

We let $\delta_{n,j} = c_j(F_n) - c_j(F)$, and we denote by δ_n the vector $\delta_n = (\delta_{n,3}, \delta_{n,4}, \dots, \delta_{n,J-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{J-3}$. Let \mathcal{A} be the sequence $(\delta_n; n \geq 1)$ of vectors.

Suppose first that the sequence \mathcal{A} is bounded. We claim that the unique limit point of \mathcal{A} is the origin $\mathbf{0}$ of \mathbb{R}^{J-3} . Once this claim is shown, the conclusion is immediate: it follows from the claim that $\delta_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, giving that $c_j(F_n) \rightarrow c_j(F)$ for all j , and implying therefore that $F_n \Rightarrow F$. To see the claim, argue as follows. Suppose that \mathcal{A} has a limit point $\eta = (\eta_3, \eta_4, \dots, \eta_{J-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{J-3}$, and find a subsequence $(n_m; m \geq 1)$ along which $\delta_{n_m} \rightarrow \eta$. Then $c_j(F_{n_m}) \rightarrow c_j(F) + \eta_j$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $3 \leq j < J$, implying that $c_j(F_n) \rightarrow \beta_j$ for all j , where

$$\beta_j = \begin{cases} c_j(F) + \eta_j & \text{if } 3 \leq j < J \\ c_j(F) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is an immediate consequence (see Janson,⁽⁸⁾ Lemma 1) that there exists a distribution function G having cumulants $(\beta_j; j \geq 1)$. Now $F \in \mathcal{H}$, and therefore $F = G$, which is to say that $\eta = \mathbf{0}$.

Suppose now that the sequence \mathcal{A} is unbounded. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$d_n = \sup_{3 \leq j < J} \{|c_j(F_n) - c_j(F)|^{1/j}\}$$

satisfies $d_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let X_n be a random variable with distribution function F_n , and let X have distribution function F ; we assume that X is independent of each X_n . Define

$$Z_n = c_1(F) + \frac{1}{d_n} \{X_n - E(X_n)\} + \frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma} \{X - E(X)\}$$

where E denotes expectation, and $\sigma^2 = \text{var}(X) = c_2(F)$, $\sigma_n^2 = \text{var}(X_n) = c_2(F_n)$. Making use of the fact that

$$c_j(aA + bB) = a^j c_j(A) + b^j c_j(B)$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and independent random variables A and B , we obtain (in the obvious notation) that

$$c_1(Z_n) = c_1(F)$$

$$c_2(Z_n) = \frac{\sigma_n^2}{d_n^2} + \sigma_n^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Furthermore, for $j \geq 3$,

$$c_j(Z_n) = \frac{c_j(F_n)}{d_n^j} + \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma}\right)^j c_j(F)$$

If $j \geq J$, then $c_j(Z_n) \rightarrow c_j(F)$. For $3 \leq j < J$,

$$|c_j(Z_n) - c_j(F)| \leq \left| \frac{c_j(F_n)}{d_n^j} \right| + \left| \left\{ \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma}\right)^j - 1 \right\} c_j(F) \right|$$

Now,

$$||c_j(F_n)| - |c_j(F_n) - c_j(F)|| \leq |c_j(F)|$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{3 \leq j < J} |c_j(Z_n) - c_j(F)| \leq 1$$

Similarly

$$|c_j(Z_n) - c_j(F)| \geq \left| \frac{c_j(F_n)}{d_n^j} \right| - \left| \left\{ \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma}\right)^j - 1 \right\} c_j(F) \right|$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{3 \leq j < J} |c_j(Z_n) - c_j(F)| = 1 \quad (*)$$

In particular, there exists a constant K such that $|c_j(Z_n)| \leq K$ for all $3 \leq j < J$ and all n , whence there exists a subsequence $(n_m: m \geq 1)$ along which $\beta_j = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} c_j(Z_{n_m})$ exists for all $3 \leq j < J$. By the argument used in the case when A was assumed bounded, we deduce that $\beta_j = c_j(F)$ for all j , in contradiction of (*). Therefore A is bounded, and the theorem is proved.

Proof (Proposition 3). The distribution function L is absolutely continuous with density function λ . It was shown by Gol'dberg⁽⁷⁾ that there exist positive constants A_1, A_2 , such that

$$0 < \lambda(x) < A_1 \exp\{-A_2[\log(|x| + 1)]^2\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Gol'dberg noted the consequence that L has all its moments. The calculations in question may be adapted easily to show the existence of positive constants A_3, A_4 , such that

$$\lambda(x) > A_3 \exp\{-A_4[\log(|x| + 1)]^2\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

It follows immediately that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log \lambda(x)}{1+x^2} dx \right| < \infty$$

The finiteness of this (Krein) integral implies that L is not specified by its moments (see Akhiezer,⁽¹⁾ p. 87). Note that λ has a similar tail behavior to that of the log-normal distribution.

REFERENCES

1. Akhiezer, N. I. (1965). *The Classical Moment Problem*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh.
2. Billingsley, P. (1968). *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley, New York.
3. Christensen, I. F. (1962). Some further extensions of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz. *Pacific J. of Math.* **12**, 59–67.
4. Cox, J. T., and Grimmett, G. R. (1981). Central limit theorems for percolation models. *J. of Statis. Phys.* **25**, 237–251.
5. Cox, J. T., and Grimmett, G. R. (1984). Central limit theorems for associated random variables and the percolation model. *Ann. Prob.* **12**, 514–528.
6. Feller, W. (1971). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 2, John Wiley, New York.
7. Gol'dberg, A. A. (1973). On a problem of Yu. V. Linnik. *Soviet Mathematics Doklady* **14**, 950–953 (**211**, 31–34 in Russian).
8. Janson, S. (1988). Normal convergence by higher semi-invariants with applications to sums of dependent random variables and random graphs. *Ann. Prob.* **16**, 305–312.
9. Lukacs, E. (1958). Some extensions of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz. *Pacific J. of Math.* **8**, 487–501.
10. Lukacs, E. (1970). *Characteristic Functions*, 2nd edn, Griffin, London.
11. Malyshev, V. A. (1975). The central limit theorem for Gibbsian random fields. *Soviet Mathematics Doklady* **16**, 1141–1145 (**224**, 35–38 in Russian).
12. Marcinkiewicz, J. (1939). Sur une propriété de la loi de Gauss. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **44**, 612–618.
13. Shirayev, A. N. (1984). *Probability*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.