

## OPTIMAL INVESTMENT: Examples 2

**1 .** Find the value function and optimal policy for the Merton consumption problem with  $u(x) = \log(x)$ .

**2 .** An investor may invest in a single log-Brownian asset, and in a riskless bank account bearing a constant interest rate  $r$ . His wealth evolves as

$$dw_t = rw_t dt + \theta_t(\sigma dW_t + (\mu - r)dt) - c_t dt$$

where  $c_t$  is his consumption rate at time  $t$ , and  $\theta_t$  is the cash value of his holding of the risky asset at time  $t$ . If his objective is to maximize  $E \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} U(c_t) dt$ , where  $U(x) = -\gamma^{-1} \exp(-\gamma x)$ , derive the HJB equation for his value function  $V$ . Show that the HJB equation is solved by  $V(w) = -A \exp(-r\gamma w)$  for some constant  $A > 0$ . Show that this solution of the HJB equation implies that the agent's optimal consumption rate is of the form  $c_t^* = rw_t + c_0^*$  for some constant  $c_0^*$ , and that the optimal investment strategy is to keep a fixed monetary value invested in the risky asset.

**3 .** So what problem did you *actually* solve in Question 1?

**4 .** An agent may invest in a single log-Brownian asset,

$$dS_t = S_t(\sigma dW_t + \mu dt),$$

and in a riskless bank account bearing a constant interest rate  $r$ . Additionally, he receives a stream  $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of endowment. Suppose that the agent's objective is to maximize  $E \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta t} U(c_t) dt$ , where  $U'(x) = x^{-R}$  for some  $R > 0$  different from 1. Solve his problem in each of the following two cases:

1.  $\varepsilon_t = a$  constant for all  $t \geq 0$ ;
2. the endowment evolves as

$$d\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon_t(v d\tilde{W}_t + \alpha dt)$$

for constants  $v$  and  $\alpha$ , where  $\tilde{W}$  is another Brownian motion,  $dW d\tilde{W} = \rho dt$ .

**5** . An agent may invest in a riskless bank account yielding interest at rate  $r$ , or in a stock evolving as

$$dS_t = S_t(\sigma dW_t + \mu dt).$$

His objective is to maximise

$$E \left[ \int_0^\infty U(t, c_t) dt \right],$$

where  $U$  is CRRA. If he chooses to follow a proportional strategy, where he invests a fixed proportion  $\pi$  of his wealth in the stock, and consumes at rate  $\gamma w_t$  for some constant  $\gamma$ , find the value of his objective.

Suppose now that the true value of  $\mu$  is unknown; the agent knows only that  $\mu \in J$  for some interval  $J$  which contains  $r$ . If the agent wishes to maximise

$$\min_{\mu \in J} E \left[ \int_0^\infty U(t, c_t) dt \right],$$

show that his optimal rule is to invest nothing in the stock, and that

$$\max_{c, \theta} \min_{\mu \in J} E \left[ \int_0^\infty U(t, c_t) dt \right] = \min_{\mu \in J} \max_{c, \theta} E \left[ \int_0^\infty U(t, c_t) dt \right]$$

**6** . Suppose we have the usual dynamics, but now the objective of the agent is given as

$$\sup E \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} U(\xi_t) dt, \tag{0.1}$$

where the process  $\xi$  solves

$$d\xi_t = \lambda(c_t^\alpha - \xi_t)dt \tag{0.2}$$

for positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$ , and  $U$  is CRRA. Find the optimal policy of the agent as completely as you can.

**7** . An agent works until a time  $\tau$  of his choosing, at which time he retires. While working, he receives a constant income stream of  $\varepsilon$ , which incurs a disutility  $\lambda > 0$ . He invests his wealth in a riskless bank account bearing interest rate  $r$ , and in a risky stock with constant volatility  $\sigma$  and rate of growth  $\mu$ . His wealth therefore evolves as

$$dw_t = rw_t dt + \theta(\sigma dW_t + (\mu - r)dt) - c_t dt + \varepsilon I_{\{t \leq \tau\}} dt$$

(where  $W$  is a standard Brownian motion, and  $\theta_t$  is the time- $t$  value of his holding of the stock) and he seeks to maximise

$$E \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} (U(c_t) - \lambda I_{\{t \leq \tau\}}) dt.$$

Assume that  $U'(x) = x^{-R}$  for some positive constant  $R \neq 1$ . Show that the critical level at which he retires is  $\gamma_M^{-1}(\varepsilon/\lambda)^{1/R}$ . By introducing the dual variable  $z = V'(w)$ , solve his problem as completely as you can.

**8 .** As a variant on the Constantinides habit formation example, let us suppose that the historical level of consumption is measured (as before) by

$$x_t = e^{-at}x_0 + b \int_0^t e^{a(s-t)} c_s ds,$$

but that the objective is to obtain

$$V(w, x) \equiv \sup E \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t/x_t) dt \mid w_0 = w, x_0 = x \right].$$

Find the HJB equation for  $V$ . Can you solve it?

**9 .** Suppose that an agent lives for a random time  $\tau$  which is independent of the evolution of the assets, and has a distribution specified in terms of its (deterministic) hazard rate  $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$P[\tau > t] = \exp\left(-\int_0^t h(s) ds\right) \quad (t > 0). \quad (0.3)$$

The agent's objective is to maximize

$$E \left[ \int_0^\tau \varphi(s) U(c_s) ds \right] \quad (0.4)$$

where  $\varphi$  is some deterministic function which reflects the agent's preferences over the different times of consumption; for example, it may be that the agent cares more about consumption in his old age. What is the agent's optimal behaviour?

**10 .** Suppose that there is some Markov chain  $\xi$  taking values in the finite set  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , which is independent of the driving Brownian motion  $W$ . We let  $Q$  denote the  $N \times N$  matrix of jump intensities. The volatility and the growth rate of the stock depend on the value of  $\xi$ , so that the dynamics of the single risky asset become

$$dS_t/S_t = \sigma(\xi_t)dW_t + \mu(\xi_t)dt \quad (0.5)$$

for some functions  $\sigma, \mu$  of the chain, and the wealth dynamics become

$$dw_t = rw_t dt + \theta_t \sigma(\xi_t)(dW_t + \kappa(\xi_t)dt) - c_t dt, \quad (0.6)$$

where  $\kappa(\xi) = \sigma^{-1}(\mu(\xi) - r)$  is the market price of risk. Suppose that the values  $\sigma(\xi), \xi \in I$  are distinct, and that the agent aims to maximise the usual objective

$$\sup E \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt, \quad (0.7)$$

where  $u$  is CRRA. Find the form of his optimal solution as explicitly as you can.

How would the problem (and its solution) change if  $\sigma(\xi)$  was the *same* for all  $\xi \in I$ ?