

$B_t$  or BM in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $X_t = |B_t|$ ; we saw  

$$dX_t = dB_t + \frac{d-1}{2X_t} dt$$

and  $\varphi(X_t)$  is a local martingale if

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \log x & (d=2) \\ x^{2-d} & (d \geq 3) \end{cases}$$

In dimension  $d \geq 3$ , we can conclude for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq x_0 \leq a$   
 that 
$$P^{x_0}(\text{hit } \varepsilon \text{ before } a) = \frac{\varphi(a) - \varphi(x_0)}{\varphi(a) - \varphi(\varepsilon)}$$

$$= (a^{2-d} - x_0^{2-d}) / (a^{2-d} - \varepsilon^{2-d})$$

So we find that for  $d \geq 3$

$$P^{x_0}(\text{hit } \varepsilon) = (\varepsilon/x_0)^{d-2} < 1$$

So BM in dimension  $d \geq 3$  is transient

Remarks: The process  $X_t$  is called a  $d$ -dimensional Bessel process,  $BES(d)$ . The 3-dimensional Bessel process is particularly interesting.

Note that:

(i)  $1/X_t$  is a local martingale;  $d(1/X_t) = (-1/X_t^2) dB_t$   
 but not a martingale

- can calculate  $\mathbb{E}(1/X_t) \rightarrow 0$  ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ )

(ii) Nevertheless (assuming  $B_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ ) we have  $1/X_t \in L^2 \forall t$

What has this to do with math finance?

In discrete time, FTAP says

no arbitrage  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  equivalent martingale measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;  
 $S_t/S_t^0$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale

In continuous time, nothing so complete happens  
 (Delboon - Schachermayer)

NFLVR  $\Leftrightarrow \exists \sigma$ -martingale measure  $\mathbb{Q}$

For us, the axiomatic starting point will be that there exists an equivalent martingale measure

FLVR:  $\exists (\theta_n)$  st

(i)  $(\theta_n \cdot S)_t \geq -1 \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq 1$

(ii)  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  st  $\mathbb{P}((\theta_n \cdot S)_1 > \epsilon) > \epsilon$

(iii)  $\forall S > 0, \mathbb{P}((\theta_n \cdot S)_1 < -S) \rightarrow 0$

Let's straight away restrict attention towards based on Brownian motion. Suppose we've got a single stock, price at time  $t$  is  $S_t$  where

$$dS_t = S_t (\sigma dB_t + \mu dt)$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  is constant, and  $\mu$  is bounded, previsible

Our axiomatic strategy point says there is some  $\mathbb{Q}$  equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$  st  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale

(so let's for simplicity take  $r=0$ , or equivalently that we're talking about  $e^{-rt} S_t \equiv \tilde{S}_t$ )

What does an equivalent mart measure look like?

What does an equivalent measure look like?

Consider  $\Lambda_t = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$

where  $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -martingale, strictly positive,  $\Lambda_0 = 1$ . If we now assume that  $\Lambda$  is  $L^2$ -bounded on each  $[0, N]$ , then by the Brownian integral Representation Theorem, there exists some previsible  $H$  st

$$\mathbb{E}(\int_0^K H_s^2 ds) < \infty \quad \forall K$$

such that  $\Lambda_t = 1 + \int_0^t H_s dB_s$

Thus we have  $d\Lambda_t = \Lambda_t \alpha_t dB_t$  where  $\alpha_t = H_t / \Lambda_t$

So we may deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_t &= \Lambda_t (B_t - \int_0^t \alpha_s ds) \text{ is a } \mathbb{P}\text{-martingale} \\ \text{because } d\Lambda_t &= (B_t - \int_0^t \alpha_s ds) d\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t (d(B_t - \int_0^t \alpha_s ds)) \\ &\quad + \alpha_t \Lambda_t dt \\ &= \{ - \} d\Lambda_t \end{aligned}$$

Here (Exercise!) deduce that  $B_t - \int_0^t \alpha_s ds$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale,  
and that it is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Brownian motion

$$dS_t = S_t (\sigma(d\tilde{B}_t + \alpha dt) + \mu dt)$$

