

One-shot Capacity Bounds via Hypothesis Testing

Ligong Wang

Renato Renner

MIT

ETH Zurich

Beyond i.i.d. Workshop
Cambridge, 9 Jan. 2013

PRL 108, 200501 (2012); arXiv:1007.5456

Outline

Goal:

- ▶ To find “good” bounds on the “one-shot capacity”
—the maximum number of classical bits that can be transmitted through a single use of a quantum channel with average error probability ϵ

Outline

Goal:

- ▶ To find “good” bounds on the “one-shot capacity”
—the maximum number of classical bits that can be transmitted through a single use of a quantum channel with average error probability ϵ

Both expressions and proofs (of the bounds) come from [hypothesis testing](#).

Outline

Goal:

- ▶ To find “good” bounds on the “one-shot capacity”
—the maximum number of classical bits that can be transmitted through a single use of a quantum channel with average error probability ϵ

Both expressions and proofs (of the bounds) come from [hypothesis testing](#).

Also: yield a very simple proof for the [HSW Theorem](#), as well as other capacity formulas.

Previous and Recent Work

Classical:

- ▶ Polyanskiy, Poor & Verdú, *ISIT 2008* —lower bound.
- ▶ Wang, Colbeck & Renner, *ISIT 2009* —lower bound (almost equivalent to PPV '08) and upper bound.
- ▶ Polyanskiy, Poor & Verdú, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory 2010* —many, many bounds, including (similar) bounds of all above.
- ▶ Many of these ideas actually date back to [Strassen '62](#).

Previous and Recent Work

Classical:

- ▶ Polyanskiy, Poor & Verdú, *ISIT 2008* —lower bound.
- ▶ Wang, Colbeck & Renner, *ISIT 2009* —lower bound (almost equivalent to PPV '08) and upper bound.
- ▶ Polyanskiy, Poor & Verdú, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory 2010* —many, many bounds, including (similar) bounds of all above.
- ▶ Many of these ideas actually date back to *Strassen '62*.

Quantum:

- ▶ Hayashi & Nagaoka *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory 2010* —information-spectrum approach to channel capacity; has a key lemma used in our paper.
- ▶ One-shot bounds of *Mosonyi & Datta '09*, *Buscemi & Datta '10*, and *Renes & Renner '11*, etc.

A Simple Hypothesis Testing Problem

When $H = 0$, state is ρ ; when $H = 1$, state is σ .

Problem: minimize $\Pr[\text{Guess } H = 0 | H = 1]$ subject to

$$\Pr[\text{Guess } H = 1 | H = 0] \leq \epsilon.$$

A Simple Hypothesis Testing Problem

When $H = 0$, state is ρ ; when $H = 1$, state is σ .

Problem: minimize $\Pr[\text{Guess } H = 0 | H = 1]$ subject to

$$\Pr[\text{Guess } H = 1 | H = 0] \leq \epsilon.$$

The minimum is

$$p_{\epsilon}^*(\rho|\sigma) \triangleq \inf_{\substack{Q: 0 \leq Q \leq I, \\ \text{tr}(Q\rho) \geq 1-\epsilon}} \text{tr}(Q\sigma)$$

How to find the best Q ? — semidefinite program, or [Holevo '72](#)

Define $D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho||\sigma)$

“Hypothesis Testing Relative Entropy”

$$D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho||\sigma) \triangleq \sup_{\substack{Q:0 \leq Q \leq I, \\ \text{tr}(Q\rho) \geq 1-\epsilon}} \{-\log \text{tr}(Q\sigma)\}$$

Namely,

$$D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho||\sigma) = -\log p_{\epsilon}^*(\rho|\sigma)$$

Properties of $D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho\|\sigma)$

$$D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho\|\sigma) \triangleq \sup_{\substack{Q: 0 \leq Q \leq I, \\ \text{tr}(Q\rho) \geq 1-\epsilon}} \{-\log \text{tr}(Q\sigma)\}$$

- ▶ Positivity: for any ρ , σ , and $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$,

$$D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho\|\sigma) \geq 0,$$

with equality if (but not only if) $\rho = \sigma$ and $\epsilon = 0$.

- ▶ Monotonically increasing in ϵ
- ▶ **Data Processing Inequality:**

$$D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho\|\sigma) \geq D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}(\rho)\|\mathcal{E}(\sigma))$$

Quantum Stein's Lemma

For any ρ , σ , and any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho^{\otimes n} \| \sigma^{\otimes n}) = D(\rho \| \sigma)$$

- ▶ Weak ($\epsilon \downarrow 0$) version due to Hiai & Petz '91
- ▶ Strong (any ϵ) version due to Ogawa & Nagaoka '00

A Useful Nonasymptotic Relation

For any ρ , σ , and any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho \parallel \sigma) \leq \frac{D(\rho \parallel \sigma) + H_{\text{b}}(\epsilon)}{1 - \epsilon}$$

Classical Information over Quantum Channel

$$M \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} \xi \xrightarrow{\text{channel}} \rho \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \hat{M}$$

Classical Information over Quantum Channel

$$M \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} \xi \xrightarrow{\text{channel}} \rho \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \hat{M}$$

Observation: to derive capacity formula, can ignore ξ and only look at its **label**

Classical Information over Quantum Channel

$$M \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} \xi \xrightarrow{\text{channel}} \rho \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \hat{M}$$

Observation: to derive capacity formula, can ignore ξ and only look at its **label**

Notations:

- ▶ Label of inputs: $|x\rangle\langle x|$ orthogonal (“classical”) states acting on Hilbert space \mathbb{A}
- ▶ Output: ρ_x acting on Hilbert space \mathbb{B}
- ▶ “Effective” channel \mathcal{W} : CPM mapping operators on \mathbb{A} to operators on \mathbb{B}

$$\mathcal{W}(|x\rangle\langle x|) = \rho_x$$

More Notations

For a PMF $\{p_x\}$ on input labels, denote

$$\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} \triangleq \sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \rho_x^{\mathbb{B}}$$

$$\pi^{\mathbb{A}} \triangleq \sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x|^{\mathbb{A}}$$

$$\pi^{\mathbb{B}} \triangleq \sum_x p_x \rho_x^{\mathbb{B}}$$

More Notations

For a PMF $\{p_x\}$ on input labels, denote

$$\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} \triangleq \sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \rho_x^{\mathbb{B}}$$

$$\pi^{\mathbb{A}} \triangleq \sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x|^{\mathbb{A}}$$

$$\pi^{\mathbb{B}} \triangleq \sum_x p_x \rho_x^{\mathbb{B}}$$

Note: the “Holevo Information” is

$$\begin{aligned} D(\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} \| \pi^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}}) &= I(\mathbb{A}; \mathbb{B}) \\ &= H(\pi^{\mathbb{A}}) + H(\pi^{\mathbb{B}}) - H(\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}) \\ &= H\left(\sum_x p_x \rho_x\right) - \sum_x p_x H(\rho_x) \end{aligned}$$

Result

Theorem

The maximum number R^ of bits that can be transmitted through a channel with average error probability no more than ϵ satisfies*

$$\sup_{\{p_x\}} D_H^{\epsilon'}(\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} \parallel \pi^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}}) - \log \frac{4\epsilon}{(\epsilon - \epsilon')^2} \leq R^* \leq \sup_{\{p_x\}} D_H^{\epsilon}(\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} \parallel \pi^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}})$$

for any $\epsilon' < \epsilon$.

Consequences

Theorem (General Capacity Formula)

The capacity of an **arbitrary** channel, described by a sequence of CPMs from $\mathbb{A}^{\otimes n}$ to $\mathbb{B}^{\otimes n}$, is

$$C = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{P_{X^n}} D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\pi^{\mathbb{A}^{\otimes n} \mathbb{B}^{\otimes n}} \| \pi^{\mathbb{A}^{\otimes n}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}^{\otimes n}}).$$

(Equivalent to information-spectrum formulation of [Hayashi & Nagaoka '03](#))

Consequences

Theorem (General Capacity Formula)

The capacity of an **arbitrary** channel, described by a sequence of CPMs from $\mathbb{A}^{\otimes n}$ to $\mathbb{B}^{\otimes n}$, is

$$C = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{P_{X^n}} D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\pi^{\mathbb{A}^{\otimes n} \mathbb{B}^{\otimes n}} \| \pi^{\mathbb{A}^{\otimes n}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}^{\otimes n}}).$$

(Equivalent to information-spectrum formulation of [Hayashi & Nagaoka '03](#))

For a **memoryless** channel \implies **HSW Theorem**:

$$C = \sup_b \frac{1}{b} \max I(\mathbb{A}^b; \mathbb{B}^b).$$

- ▶ Achievability comes from IID blocks of inputs + Quantum Stein's Lemma
- ▶ Converse comes from that “useful nonasymptotic relation”

Consequences (contd.)

Optimistic capacity:

$$\bar{C} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{P_{X^n}} D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\pi^{\text{A} \otimes n \text{B} \otimes n} \| \pi^{\text{A} \otimes n} \otimes \pi^{\text{B} \otimes n}).$$

Bounds on ϵ -capacities:

$$C_{\epsilon} \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{P_{X^n}} D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\pi^{\text{A} \otimes n \text{B} \otimes n} \| \pi^{\text{A} \otimes n} \otimes \pi^{\text{B} \otimes n}),$$
$$C_{\epsilon} \geq \lim_{\epsilon' \uparrow \epsilon} \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{P_{X^n}} D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon'}(\pi^{\text{A} \otimes n \text{B} \otimes n} \| \pi^{\text{A} \otimes n} \otimes \pi^{\text{B} \otimes n}).$$

(Equivalent to corresponding information-spectrum formulations)

Upper Bound

Theorem (Upper Bound)

If one can send R bits through one use of a quantum channel with average error probability no larger than ϵ , then

$$R \leq \sup_{\{p_x\}} D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon} (\pi^{\text{AB}} \| \pi^{\text{A}} \otimes \pi^{\text{B}}).$$

Upper Bound—the Simple Proof

A code is given: input labels $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2^R}\}$; corresponding decoding POVM $\{E_1, \dots, E_{2^R}\}$.

- ▶ Choose a uniform distribution on the input labels, yielding the state

$$\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} = 2^{-R} \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} |x_i\rangle\langle x_i|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \rho_{x_i}^{\mathbb{B}}$$

Upper Bound—the Simple Proof

A code is given: input labels $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2^R}\}$; corresponding decoding POVM $\{E_1, \dots, E_{2^R}\}$.

- ▶ Choose a uniform distribution on the input labels, yielding the state

$$\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} = 2^{-R} \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} |x_i\rangle\langle x_i|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \rho_{x_i}^{\mathbb{B}}$$

- ▶ Let

$$Q^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} |x_i\rangle\langle x_i|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes E_i^{\mathbb{B}}$$

Upper Bound—the Simple Proof (contd.)

$$\pi^{\text{AB}} = 2^{-R} \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} |x_i\rangle\langle x_i|^{\text{A}} \otimes \rho_{x_i}^{\text{B}}, \quad Q^{\text{AB}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} |x_i\rangle\langle x_i|^{\text{A}} \otimes E_i^{\text{B}}$$

Because average error probability is no larger than ϵ we have

$$\text{tr}(Q\pi^{\text{AB}}) = 2^{-R} \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} \text{tr}(E_i\rho_{x_i}) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Upper Bound—the Simple Proof (contd.)

$$\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} = 2^{-R} \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} |x_i\rangle\langle x_i|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \rho_{x_i}^{\mathbb{B}}, \quad Q^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} |x_i\rangle\langle x_i|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes E_i^{\mathbb{B}}$$

Because average error probability is no larger than ϵ we have

$$\text{tr}(Q\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}) = 2^{-R} \sum_{i=1}^{2^R} \text{tr}(E_i\rho_{x_i}) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(Q(\pi^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}})) &= 2^{-R} \text{tr}\left(\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{2^R} E_i\right\} \pi^{\mathbb{B}}\right) \\ &= 2^{-R} \text{tr}(I\pi^{\mathbb{B}}) \\ &= 2^{-R}. \end{aligned}$$

Upper Bound—the Simple Proof (contd.)

So, for the above chosen π^{AB} and Q^{AB} ,

$$\begin{aligned} R &= -\log \text{tr} (Q^{\text{AB}} (\pi^{\text{A}} \otimes \pi^{\text{B}})) \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{Q: 0 \leq Q \leq I, \\ \text{tr}(Q\pi^{\text{AB}}) \geq 1-\epsilon}} \{ -\log \text{tr} (Q (\pi^{\text{A}} \otimes \pi^{\text{B}})) \} \\ &= D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\pi^{\text{AB}} \| \pi^{\text{A}} \otimes \pi^{\text{B}}). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Upper Bound—the Simpler Proof

Consider the question: Are M and \hat{M} generated **jointly** or **independently**?

Upper Bound—the Simpler Proof

Consider the question: Are M and \hat{M} generated **jointly** or **independently**?

Guessing rule (possibly suboptimal): Guess “jointly” if $m = \hat{m}$ and guess “independently” otherwise.

Upper Bound—the Simpler Proof

Consider the question: Are M and \hat{M} generated **jointly** or **independently**?

Guessing rule (possibly suboptimal): Guess “jointly” if $m = \hat{m}$ and guess “independently” otherwise.

Error probabilities:

- ▶ When M and \hat{M} are generated jointly, the guess is wrong iff the channel decoder makes an error $\rightarrow \epsilon$
- ▶ When M and \hat{M} are generated independently, the guessing rule makes an error if they happen to be the same $\rightarrow 2^{-R}$.

Upper Bound—the Simpler Proof

Consider the question: Are M and \hat{M} generated **jointly** or **independently**?

Guessing rule (possibly suboptimal): Guess “jointly” if $m = \hat{m}$ and guess “independently” otherwise.

Error probabilities:

- ▶ When M and \hat{M} are generated jointly, the guess is wrong iff the channel decoder makes an error $\rightarrow \epsilon$
- ▶ When M and \hat{M} are generated independently, the guessing rule makes an error if they happen to be the same $\rightarrow 2^{-R}$.

So

$$2^{-R} \geq p_{\epsilon}^* (P_{M\hat{M}} | P_M \otimes P_{\hat{M}}) = 2^{-D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(P_{M\hat{M}} \| P_M \otimes P_{\hat{M}})}.$$

Theorem follows by the Data Processing Inequality.

Q.E.D.

Lower Bound

Theorem (Lower Bound)

For any $\epsilon' < \epsilon$, it is possible to send R bits through one use of a quantum channel with average error probability no larger than ϵ as long as

$$R \leq \sup_{\{p_x\}} D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon'} (\pi^{\text{AB}} \| \pi^{\text{A}} \otimes \pi^{\text{B}}) - \log \frac{4\epsilon}{(\epsilon - \epsilon')^2}.$$

Proof of Lower Bound

Another look at Shannon's Joint Typicality decoder:

For each codeword, make a hypothesis test: is it drawn jointly with the output y^n ? (I.e. are they jointly typical?)

Proof of Lower Bound

Another look at Shannon's Joint Typicality decoder:

For each codeword, make a hypothesis test: is it drawn jointly with the output y^n ? (I.e. are they jointly typical?)

Problem in quantum case:

Can't make 2^R tests on a **quantum** state, because measurement changes the state!

Proof of Lower Bound: A Lemma

Lemma [Hayashi & Nagaoka '03]

For any positive real c and any operators $0 \leq S \leq I$ and $T \geq 0$, we have

$$I - (S + T)^{-1/2} S (S + T)^{-1/2} \leq (1 + c)(I - S) + (2 + c + c^{-1})T.$$

Proof of Lower Bound: A Lemma

Lemma [Hayashi & Nagaoka '03]

For any positive real c and any operators $0 \leq S \leq I$ and $T \geq 0$, we have

$$I - (S + T)^{-1/2} S (S + T)^{-1/2} \leq (1 + c)(I - S) + (2 + c + c^{-1})T.$$

Application: for n hypothesis tests consisting of $\{A_i, I - A_i\}$, we can construct one measurement with n outcomes:

$$E_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

with

$$I - E_i \leq (1 + c)(I - A_i) + (2 + c + c^{-1}) \left(\sum_{j \neq i} A_j \right)$$

Proof of Lower Bound (contd.)

Fix $c > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon/(1+c)$.

For any P_X , we will to show: for any $Q \leq I$ acting on $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}$ such that $\text{tr}(Q\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}) \geq 1 - \epsilon'$, there exist a codebook and a decoding POVM which satisfy

$$\Pr(\text{error}) \leq (1+c)\epsilon' + (2+c+c^{-1})(2^R-1) \text{tr}(Q(\pi^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}})).$$

Proof of Lower Bound (contd.)

Fix $c > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon/(1+c)$.

For any P_X , we will to show: for any $Q \leq I$ acting on $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}$ such that $\text{tr}(Q\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}) \geq 1 - \epsilon'$, there exist a codebook and a decoding POVM which satisfy

$$\Pr(\text{error}) \leq (1+c)\epsilon' + (2+c+c^{-1})(2^R-1) \text{tr}(Q(\pi^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}})).$$

To this end, choose

$$A_x^{\mathbb{B}} \triangleq \text{tr}_{\mathbb{A}}(|x\rangle\langle x|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes I^{\mathbb{B}}) Q.$$

Proof of Lower Bound (contd.)

Fix $c > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon/(1+c)$.

For any P_X , we will to show: for any $Q \leq I$ acting on $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}$ such that $\text{tr}(Q\pi^{\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}}) \geq 1 - \epsilon'$, there exist a codebook and a decoding POVM which satisfy

$$\Pr(\text{error}) \leq (1+c)\epsilon' + (2+c+c^{-1})(2^R-1) \text{tr}(Q(\pi^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \pi^{\mathbb{B}})).$$

To this end, choose

$$A_x^{\mathbb{B}} \triangleq \text{tr}_{\mathbb{A}}(|x\rangle\langle x|^{\mathbb{A}} \otimes I^{\mathbb{B}}) Q.$$

Randomly generate a codebook $\{x_i\}$, and form a corresponding POVM

$$E_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^R} A_{x_j} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^R} A_{x_j} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Applying the lemma and doing simple matrix manipulations yield the result.

Q.E.D.

Summary

- ▶ Capacity of quantum channel can be well understood via hypothesis testing.
- ▶ Simple proof for the HSW Theorem; as well as general capacity formulas.
- ▶ $D_{\text{H}}^{\epsilon}(\rho\|\sigma)$: a useful quantity, hopefully in other one-shot scenarios, too.

Thank you!