## A PROBLEM OF AMMUNITION RATIONING

148

At each time,  $s=0,1,2,\ldots$  there is a possibility that with probability p a bomber will be overhead. A defender has a stockpile of n units of ammunition. If m, m<n, of these are fired at a bomber, then it will be destroyed with probability  $(1-\alpha^m)$ ,  $0<\alpha<1$ .

The objective is to maximize the probability that all bombers arriving within the first t time instants are destroyed. In an obvious notation, the dynamic programming equation is

P(n,0) = 1, and  $P(n,t) = (1-p)P(n,t-1) + p \max_{\substack{0 \le m \le n}} (1-\alpha^m)P(n-m,t-1)$ .

The following is an intuitively reasonable conjecture.

Conjecture. The optimizing m(n,t) in the above is such that

- (A) m(n,t) is nonincreasing in t, and
- (B) m(n,t) is nondecreasing in n.

Kinger and Brown (1968) and Samuel(1970) have proved (A). Extensive numerical calculation has found no counterexample to (B). However, (B) remains unproved. There are some interesing variations.

A Continuous Version: Bombers pass overhead in a Poisson process of rate 1. The stockpile of ammunition is x. Firing an amount y(x destroys the bomber with probability  $1-e^{-Y}$ . The DP equation is

$$V(x,t) = \max_{\substack{0 < y \le x}} (1 - e^{-y}) \{ e^{-t} + \int_0^\infty e^{-s} V(x-y,t-s) ds \}.$$

We conjecture that y(x,t) is nondecreasing in x.

A Different Objective: Suppose we wish to maximize over an infinite horizon the expected time until a bomber is first missed. The DF equation is  $T(n) = 1/p + \max(1-\alpha^m)T(n-m)$ . Surprisingly, m(n) is not monotone in n, since for  $\alpha=0.5$  we find m(9)=3, m(10)=4, m(11)=3, m(12)=4. But we conjecture that m(x) is nondecreasing in x when the ammunition stockpile x is given a continuous model.

A Sufficient Condition for (B): It is easy to check that (B) would follow if P(n,t)/P(n+1,t) could be shown to be nondecreasing in n. We conjecture this is true if t is continuous. But if t is discrete (as in the original statement of the problem) we find P(14,3)/P(15,3)< P(13,3)/P(14,3), for p=0.54 and  $\alpha=0.65$ .

I am grateful to Sheldon Ross for telling me about this problem.

Richard Weiss (Cambridge)