

In the following questions, where appropriate, suppose  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  are i.i.d. and take values in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ . We will take  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, 1\}$  and the loss  $\ell$  will be misclassification loss, unless it is specified that a regression setting is being considered, in which case the loss will typically be squared error. Assume that the computational complexity of inverting  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is  $O(m^3)$ , and forming  $BC$  where  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times b}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times c}$  is  $O(abc)$ .

1. Show that

$$R(h) - R(h_0) = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{1}_{\{h(X) \neq h_0(X)\}} |2\eta(X) - 1|\}$$

where

$$h_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) > 1/2 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $\eta(x) := \mathbb{P}(Y = 1 | X = x)$ .

2. In each of the settings below, find a classifier that minimises the risk corresponding to the loss functions given.

- (a) Consider the weighted misclassification loss  $\ell : \{-1, 1\}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\ell(-1, -1) = \ell(1, 1) = 0$  and  $\ell(-1, 1) = \alpha$ ,  $\ell(1, -1) = \beta$  where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ .  
 (b) Suppose  $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, K\}$  and loss  $\ell : \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\ell(y', y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y = y' \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. Let  $\hat{h} = \hat{h}_D$  be a hypothesis trained on data  $D = (X_i, Y_i)_{i=1}^n$  formed of iid copies of an independent random pair  $(X, Y)$ . Define  $\tilde{h}_{X_{1:n}}(x) := \mathbb{E}(\hat{h}_D(x) | X_{1:n})$ .

- (a) Show that

$$\mathbb{E}\{[Y - \hat{h}_D(X)]^2 | X = x\} = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}(Y | X = x) - \tilde{h}_{X_{1:n}}(x)\}^2 + \mathbb{E}\{\hat{h}_D(x) - \tilde{h}_{X_{1:n}}(x)\}^2 + \text{Var}(Y | X = x).$$

- (b) Show that considering squared error loss,

$$\mathbb{E}R(\hat{h}_D) - \mathbb{E}R(\tilde{h}_{X_{1:n}}) = \mathbb{E}\{\hat{h}_D(X) - \tilde{h}_{X_{1:n}}(X)\}^2.$$

4. Consider performing OLS regression using a set of  $d$  basis functions  $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d) := \varphi$  using data  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=1}^n$ . Assume that the matrix  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with  $i$ th row  $\varphi(X_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has full column rank.

- (a) Show that the OLS coefficient vector  $\hat{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  may be obtained in  $O(nd^2)$  operations.  
 (b) Show that the leave-one-out cross-validation score

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{Y_i - \varphi(X_i)^\top \hat{\beta}_{-i}\}^2$$

may be computed in  $O(nd^2)$  operations. Here  $\hat{\beta}_{-i} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the OLS coefficient vector when performing regression using a dataset with the  $i$ th point removed. [Use the matrix identity

$$(A - bb^\top)^{-1} = A^{-1} + \frac{A^{-1}bb^\top A^{-1}}{1 - b^\top A^{-1}b}$$

whenever  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  is invertible,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $b^\top A^{-1} b \neq 1$ . Also assume  $\varphi(X_i)^\top (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \varphi(X_i) < 1$ , which holds provided each matrix formed of  $\Phi$  with a row removed has full column rank.] [Hint: Consider first computing  $(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \varphi(X_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .]

5. Consider a regression setting as in the previous question with  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $\varphi$  defined as above. For  $\lambda \geq 0$ , consider  $\hat{h}_\lambda$  given by  $\hat{h}_\lambda(x) = \varphi(x)^\top \hat{\beta}_\lambda$  with

$$\hat{\beta}_\lambda := \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{\|Y_{1:n} - \Phi\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2^2\}.$$

- (a) Show that  $\hat{\beta}_\lambda = (\Phi^\top \Phi + \lambda I)^{-1} \Phi^\top Y_{1:n}$ .  
(b) Suppose  $\operatorname{Var}(Y_1 | X_1 = x) > 0$  is constant in  $x$  and  $\varphi(x)$  is not the zero vector. Show that for all  $x$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \operatorname{Var}(\hat{h}_\lambda(x) | X_{1:n})$  is strictly decreasing.
6. In this question we investigate an alternative splitting criterion for a regression tree, based on maximising a likelihood assuming that the  $Y_i$  have a Poisson distribution conditional on  $X_i$ . Specifically, consider the first split and where  $p = 1$  with  $X_1 < \dots < X_n$ . Show that

$$\max_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} \prod_{i \leq m} (\gamma_L^{Y_i} e^{-\gamma_L}) \times \prod_{i > m} (\gamma_R^{Y_i} e^{-\gamma_R})$$

may be maximised over  $m$  with  $O(n)$  computational cost.

7. The piecewise constant function produced by a regression tree may not always approximate the underlying true regression function well. Here we imagine we have an additional univariate predictor  $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathbb{R}$  which we permit to contribute to the fit in a linear fashion. Specifically, consider ERM with squared error loss over class

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ (t, x) \mapsto t\beta + \sum_{j=1}^J \gamma_j \mathbb{1}_{R_j}(x) : \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^J \right\};$$

here the  $R_j$  are fixed (for simplicity, unlike in the case of regression trees) and partition  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and moreover all  $I_j := \{i : X_i \in R_j\}$  are non-empty and have been pre-computed. Assume that  $T_{1:n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is not in the span of  $\{(\mathbb{1}_{R_j}(X_i))_{i=1}^n : j = 1, \dots, J\}$ . Show that the ERM may be computed in  $O(n)$  time. [Hint: Use the matrix identity that for  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b^\top \\ b & M \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} s^{-1} & -s^{-1}b^\top M^{-1} \\ -s^{-1}M^{-1}b & M^{-1} + s^{-1}M^{-1}bb^\top M^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $s := a - b^\top M^{-1}b > 0$  provided the matrix on the left is indeed invertible. ]

8. Consider the regression setting with squared error loss and let  $\mathcal{H} = \{x \mapsto \beta^\top x : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p\}$ . Let  $\Sigma_{XX} := \operatorname{Var}(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  and  $\Sigma_{XY} = \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Suppose  $\Sigma_{XX}$  is positive definite,  $\mathbb{E}X = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}Y^2 < \infty$ . Show that  $h^* := \operatorname{argmin}_{h \in \mathcal{H}} R(h)$  is given by  $h^*(x) = x^\top \beta^*$  where  $\beta^* = \Sigma_{XX}^{-1} \Sigma_{XY}$ .
9. Suppose  $|\mathcal{H}|$  is finite and there exists  $h^* \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $R(h^*) = 0$ . Show that with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , every empirical risk minimiser  $\hat{h}$  satisfies

$$R(\hat{h}) \leq \frac{\log |\mathcal{H}| + \log(1/\delta)}{n}.$$

[Hint: Argue that  $\hat{R}(\hat{h}) = 0$  and use the fact that  $1 - \epsilon \leq e^{-\epsilon}$ .]

10. This question applies concentration inequalities to study the problem of (potentially high-dimensional) covariance matrix estimation. Suppose  $Z_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N_p(0, \Sigma)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  where  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  is a covariance matrix with  $\Sigma_{jj} = 1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, p$ . The maximum likelihood estimate of  $\Sigma$  is  $\hat{\Sigma} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i^\top$ .

- (a) Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are mean-zero and jointly Gaussian with  $\text{Var}(V) = \text{Var}(W) = 1$  and  $\text{Cov}(V, W) = \rho$ . Show that

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\alpha VW} = [\{1 - \alpha(1 + \rho)\}\{1 + \alpha(1 - \rho)\}]^{-1/2}$$

for  $\alpha \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ . [*Hint: Express  $VW$  as a difference of two independent scaled  $\chi_1^2$  random variables and use the fact that the mgf of a  $\chi_1^2$  random variable is  $1/\sqrt{1 - 2\alpha}$  for  $\alpha < 1/2$ .]*

- (b) Using the fact that

$$e^{-\alpha\rho}[\{1 - \alpha(1 + \rho)\}\{1 + \alpha(1 - \rho)\}]^{-1/2} \leq e^{2\alpha^2}$$

whenever  $|\alpha| < 1/4$  and  $\rho \in [-1, 1]$ , show that for fixed  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\hat{\Sigma}_{jk} - \Sigma_{jk}| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-nt^2/8}.$$

Conclude that with probability at least  $1 - 2/p$ ,

$$\max_{j,k} |\hat{\Sigma}_{jk} - \Sigma_{jk}| \leq 5\sqrt{\frac{\log(p)}{n}}.$$